DOI: 10.1111/jfb.13686

REGULAR PAPER

Genetic population structure of the convict surgeonfish Acanthurus triostegus: a phylogeographic reassessment across its range

Levy M. Otwoma ^{1,2,3}	Valeska Diemel ¹	Hauke Reuter ^{1,3}	Marc Kochzius ⁴
Achim Meyer ¹			

¹Leibniz Centre for Tropical Marine Research (ZMT), Bremen, Germany

²Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute (KMFRI), Mombasa, Kenya

³Faculty Biology and Chemistry, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

⁴Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), Brussels, Belgium

Correspondence

Levy M. Otwoma, Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute (KMFRI), Mombasa, Kenya. Email: levyot@yahoo.com

Funding information

Funding for this project was provided by the Leibniz Centre for Tropical Marine Research (ZMT) and International Foundation for Science (IFS) (IFS grant no. A/5677-1). L.O. received a scholarship from the Deutscher Akademischer Austausch Dienst (DAAD) together with the National Council of Science and Technology, Kenya (NACOSTI) This study investigates the genetic population structure and connectivity of Acanthurus triostegus in five Indo-Pacific biogeographic regions (western and eastern Indian Ocean, western, central and eastern Pacific Ocean), using a mitochondrial DNA marker spanning the ATPase8 and ATPase6 gene regions. In order to assess the phylogeography and genetic population structure of A. triostegus across its range, 35 individuals were sampled from five localities in the western Indian Ocean and complemented with 227 sequences from two previous studies. Results from the overall analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) without a priori grouping showed evidence of significant differentiation in the Indo-Pacific, with 25 (8.3%) out of 300 pairwise Φ_{ST} comparisons being significant. However, the hierarchical AMOVA grouping of Indian and Pacific Ocean populations failed to support the vicariance hypothesis, showing a lack of a genetic break between the two ocean basins. Instead, the correlation between pairwise $\Phi_{\rm ST}$ values and geographic distance showed that dispersal of A. triostegus in the Indo-Pacific Ocean follows an isolation-by-distance model. Three haplogroups could be deduced from the haplotype network and phylogenetic tree, with haplogroup 1 and 2 dominating the Indian and the Pacific Ocean, respectively, while haplogroup 3 exclusively occurring in the Hawaiian Archipelago of the central Pacific Ocean.

KEYWORDS

genetic diversity, Indo-Pacific barrier Kenya, Madagascar, mtDNA, Tanzania

1 | INTRODUCTION

The Indo-Pacific barrier (IPB) hinders the movement of tropical marine organisms between the Indian and the Pacific Ocean. Its exact location in the Indo-Australian Archipelago (IAA) is still being debated, but it is widely recognized that the efficacy of this barrier increased during the Pleistocene sea-level low stands (Gaither *et al.*, 2010). During the Pleistocene glacial cycles (*c.* 2.6 million to 11,700 years ago), sea level repeatedly dropped as much as 120 m below present, exposing the shallow Sunda and Sahul shelves. At the same time, the Torres Strait between New Guinea and Australia was closed and acted as a land bridge for 90,000–100,000 years until its inundation *c.* 7,000 years ago (Voris, 2000). The strong upwelling of cold water at the base of the Indonesian arc limited dispersal of tropical marine organisms through the few open narrow channels in the eastern Indonesian islands (Fleminger, 1986; Voris, 2000). This barrier divided populations

that once freely exchanged migrants for tens of thousand years (Benzie, 1999). Although phylogeographic surveys across the Indo-Pacific are still at a nascent stage (Carpenter *et al.*, 2011), studies on several taxa have shown a concordant genetic partition between the Indian and the Pacific Ocean. These include teleosts (Bay *et al.*, 2004; Gaither *et al.*, 2010; Kochzius *et al.*, 2003; McMillan & Palumbi, 1995; Mirams *et al.*, 2011; Planes & Fauvelot, 2002; Timm *et al.*, 2008; Timm & Kochzius, 2008), echinoderms (Benzie, 1999; Crandall *et al.*, 2008b; Kochzius *et al.*, 2009; Otwoma & Kochzius, 2016), molluscs (Hui *et al.*, 2016, 2017; Kochzius & Nuryanto, 2008; Nuryanto & Kochzius, 2009), crustacean (Lavery *et al.*, 1996) and seagrass (Hernawan *et al.*, 2017).

Although this concordant phylogeographic structure in numerous marine taxa may indicate that genetic divergence between the two ocean basins was caused by extrinsic factors such as the sea-level fluctuations during the Pleistocene (Hernawan *et al.*, 2017), a number

JOURNAL OF **FISH** BIOL OGY

of species lack this phylogeographic break. These include echinoderms *Eucidaris metularia* (Lessios *et al.*, 1999) and *Diadema savignyi* (Lessios *et al.*, 2001), marine gastropods *Echinolittorina reticulata* (Reid *et al.*, 2006) and *Thyca crystallina* (Kochzius *et al.*, 2009) and the teleosts *Naso vlamingii* (Valenciennes 1835) (Klanten *et al.*, 2007), *Naso brevirostris* (Cuvier 1829) and *Naso unicornis* (Forsskål 1775) (Horne *et al.*, 2008). The lack of genetic divergence in some of the species that span the Indo-Pacific has been interpreted as the loss of one of the two divergent lineages due to local extinction or selective sweeps (Grant & Bowen, 1998), or re-establishment of gene flow after the barriers were dissipated by sea-level rise (DeBoer *et al.*, 2008; Gaither *et al.*, 2011a; Liu *et al.*, 2012). On the other hand, it is also possible that the ranges of these species did not span the IAA during Pleistocene multiple glaciations (Crandall *et al.*, 2008a).

Several studies on marine shallow-water species show a higher degree of genetic differentiation among the Indian Ocean populations as compared with their counterparts in the Pacific Ocean (Benzie, 1999: Hui et al., 2016: Huvghe & Kochzius, 2017: Otwoma & Kochzius, 2016; Williams & Benzie, 1998). This suggests that not only are populations from the Indian and Pacific Oceans separated, but that species in each basin exhibit different patterns of population connectivity. The higher genetic differentiation in the Indian Ocean is attributed to the fewer reefs and island archipelagos available in this basin to facilitate long-distance dispersal through the stepping-stone model (Benzie, 1999; Williams & Benzie, 1998). This is particularly true for species that disperse across the Indian Ocean while possessing a limited pelagic larval duration (PLD). Such species show limited larval exchange within the Indian Ocean (Benzie, 1999; Hui et al., 2016; Huyghe & Kochzius, 2017; Williams & Benzie, 1998), possibly due to the vast distance between their suitable habitats (Spalding et al., 2007). On the other hand, species with a PLD reaching 40-90 days show genetic uniformity across the Indian Ocean, suggesting that great dispersal ability may play a role in connecting eastern and western Indian Ocean population (Craig et al., 2007; DiBattista et al., 2016; Gaither et al., 2010, 2011b; Horne et al., 2008). However, the relationship between PLD and genetic population structure is not straightforward (Selkoe et al., 2014), as a positive correlation between the two is reported in some studies (Gaither et al., 2010, 2011b), but not in others (Barber et al., 2002; Weersing & Toonen, 2009). This ambiguity suggests that larval dispersal in marine species is not only influenced by PLD but also local oceanographic current conditions (DiBattista et al., 2017; Otwoma & Kochzius, 2016), larval behaviour (Fisher et al., 2005) and historical processes (Otwoma & Kochzius, 2016).

The convict surgeonfish Acanthurus triostegus (L. 1758) is widely distributed in the lagoon and seaward reefs of the Indo-Pacific Ocean. It feeds predominantly on filamentous algae growing on coral reefs, thus helps to keep them in the coral-dominated state. Reproduction in this species occurs through large spawning aggregations that result in clouds of pelagic fertilized eggs (Hartup *et al.*, 2013). Studies on its post-recruitment stages report an average larval swimming speed of 0.56 m s⁻¹, which can be sustained for up to 194 hours (Fisher & Hogan, 2007; Leis & Carson-Ewart, 1997; Stobutzki & Bellwood, 1997). This suggests that A. *triostegus* larvae are capable of actively influencing their dispersal and settlement (Fisher *et al.*, 2005). Otherwise, its pelagic larval phase of 40 to 60 days (McCormick, 1999)

would facilitate long-distance dispersal, when the mean speed of ocean currents exceeds the average swimming speed of the larvae. The great dispersal potential and wide distribution of *A. triostegus* makes it a suitable model to investigate the forces that shape the genetic structure and evolution of marine organisms in the Indo-Pacific Ocean. Previous genetic analyses of this species were based on allozymes (Planes, 1993; Planes *et al.*, 1998; Planes & Fauvelot, 2002) or mtDNA (Lessios & Robertson, 2006; Liggins *et al.*, 2016; Mirams *et al.*, 2011) and mainly focused on assessing the genetic structure of *A. triostegus* in the eastern Indian Ocean (EIO), western Pacific (WP), central Pacific (CP) and eastern Pacific (EP) (Lessios & Robertson, 2006; Liggins *et al.*, 2016; Mirams *et al.*, 2011; Planes, 1993; Planes *et al.*, 1998). Although the study by Planes and Fauvelot (2002) covers the whole Indo-Pacific Ocean, only one population was sampled from the Indian Ocean (Mozambique).

In this study, newly sampled sequences from the western Indian Ocean (WIO) were added to published sequences from two previous studies (Lessios & Robertson, 2006; Liggins *et al.*, 2016), in order to determine the genetic population structure of *A. triostegus* across its entire range. The aim was to assess the connectivity of *A. triostegus* among WIO reefs and the role contemporary or physical barriers (long-distance between suitable habitats) play in shaping its genetic structure. In addition, the influence of historical barriers on the phylogeography of *A. triostegus* across the IAA was examined. Based on the great dispersal potential of *A. triostegus* connectivity within Indian and Pacific Basins was expected. However, the dispersal ability could have played a negligible role in connecting the Indian and Pacific *A. triostegus* populations during the Pleistocene sea-level low stands. Therefore, intraspecific divergence between the two ocean basins was anticipated.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Sampling and DNA extraction

Adult A. *triostegus* were caught between June and December 2015 by local fishermen using spear gun, gill net, basket trap and beach seine from five localities in the WIO region (Figure 1(b) and Table 1). Fin clips were cut from each individual and stored in 96% ethanol prior to DNA extraction. The genomic DNA was extracted by the standard salting precipitation method (Sunnucks & Hales, 1996).

2.2 | Amplification and sequencing

A fragment of 842 bp of the ATPase8 and ATPase6 gene regions was amplified through PCR using the primers described by Lessios and Robertson (2006): ATP8.2 (5' AAAGCRTYRGCCTTTTAAGC 3') and CO3.2 (5' GTTAGTGGTCAKGGGCTTGGRTC 3'). All PCRs were conducted in a total volume of 25 µl that included 2.5 µl buffer C (Roboklon; www.roboklon.de), 1 µl deoxynucleotide triphosphate (dNTP) (10 mM), 1 µl MgCl₂ (25 mM), 0.5 µl bovine serum albumin (BSA; 10 mg ml⁻¹), 0.5 µl of each primer (10 µM), 0.125 µl Taq DNA polymerase (5 U µl⁻¹) and 1 µl of DNA template (100–300 ng). The temperature profile consisted of 94°C for 5 min, 39 cycles of 94°C for



FIGURE 1 Map of (a) Indo-Pacific Basin, (b) western Indian Ocean (WIO), (c) eastern Indian Ocean (EIO), (d) western Pacific Ocean (WP), (e) Central Pacific Ocean (CP) and (f) eastern Pacific Ocean (EP). The Pleistocene Sea level low stands *c*. 120 m below present mean sea level are indicated (**a**) (Voris, 2000). (g) Majority rule consensus tree from the Bayesian phylogenetic analysis using the HKY + I + G model showing the three defined haplogroups. Posterior probabilities above 0.9 are shown at the respective nodes (**a**) WIO, (**b**) WP, (**b**) EP, (**b**) EIO, and (**b**) CP. (h) Minimum spanning network based on ATPase sequences. The size of haplotypes (**b**, **c**, **b**) is proportional to their absolute frequency; —, single mutational steps; (**b**), missing intermediate haplotypes. Pie charts on the map (Figure 1(a)) illustrate the proportion of each haplogroup at different sampling sites, while the large pie charts on the Bayesian phylogenetic tree (Figure 1(g)) depicts the contribution of each biogeographic region to the defined haplogroups. (see Table 1 for biogeographical region and sample site abbreviations) (**b**) Haplogroup 1, (**b**) Haplogroup 2, and (**b**) Haplogroup 3

30 s, 54°C for 40 s, 72°C for 1 min and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min, as described by Lessios and Robertson (2006). Purification of the PCR products was done using the ExoSAP clean-up kit (ThermoFisher scientific; www.thermofisher.com) following the manufacturer's protocol. Sequencing was done using a DyeDeoxy terminator (Applied Biosystems; www.appliedbiosystems.com) and

an automatic sequencer (ABI PRISM 310 and 3100, Applied Biosystems). These 35 sequences from five WIO localities were combined with 227 sequences (GenBank accession numbers: KJ779682.1-KJ779871.1 and DQ111127.1-DQ111163.1) from two previous studies (Lessios & Robertson, 2006; Liggins *et al.*, 2016) (Table 1).

IOURNAL OF **FISH**BIOLOGY

TABLE 1 Summary of genetic diversity indices for the georeferenced sequence samples of Acanthurus triostegus

Sample site	Biogeographical region	Sample code	n	NhP	h	π	Source of sequences
Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania	WIO	DS	7	7	1	0.009	Present study
Kiunga, Kenya	WIO	KU	9	6	0.92	0.006	Present study
Mombasa, Kenya	WIO	MO	6	6	1	0.008	Present study
Mtwara, Tanzania	WIO	MT	4	4	1	0.005	Present study
Anakao, Madagascar	WIO	AN	9	6	0.89	0.005	Present study
Ashmore Reef, Indian Ocean	EIO	AR	15	6	0.71	0.002	Liggins et al., 2016
East Timor, Indonesia	EIO	ET	16	7	0.74	0.006	Liggins et al., 2016
Ningaloo, Australia	EIO	NI	18	9	0.84	0.005	Liggins et al., 2016
Kavieng, Papua New Guinea	WP	KG	15	7	0.82	0.005	Liggins et al., 2016
Lihou reefs, Australia	WP	LR	15	9	0.89	0.004	Liggins et al., 2016
Lizard Islands, Australia	WP	LI	15	10	0.91	0.007	Liggins et al., 2016
Motupore Island, Papua New Guinea	WP	MG	7	4	0.81	0.004	Liggins et al., 2016
Solomon Islands	WP	SI	15	7	0.82	0.005	Liggins et al., 2016
Cook Island	СР	СК	30	16	0.93	0.005	Liggins et al., 2016
Hawaii, USA	СР	HA	16	13	0.98	0.006	Liggins et al., 2016; Lessios & Robertson, 2006
Fiji	СР	FJ	11	7	0.87	0.004	Liggins et al., 2016
Johnston Island, USA	СР	II	4	4	1	0.006	Lessios & Robertson, 2006
Kiritimati, Kiribati	СР	KR	5	3	0.8	0.006	Lessios & Robertson, 2006
Marquesas Islands, France	СР	MI	4	4	1	0.002	Lessios & Robertson, 2006
Tonga	СР	TG	6	5	0.93	0.007	Liggins et al., 2016
Tuvalu	СР	TV	16	10	0.83	0.007	Liggins et al., 2016
Clipperton Island, France	EP	CF	5	4	0.9	0.003	Lessios & Robertson, 2006
Cocos Island, Costa Rica	EP	CI	4	3	0.83	0.003	Lessios & Robertson, 2006
Panama	EP	PA	5	3	0.7	0.002	Lessios & Robertson, 2006
Revillagigedos Islands, Mexico	EP	RI	5	2	0.4	0	Lessios & Robertson, 2006

Note. CP: central Pacific Ocean; EIO: eastern Indian Ocean; EP: Eastern Pacific Ocean; h: haplotype diversity; n: Number of sequences; NhP: number of haplotypes; WIO: Western Indian Ocean; WP: western Pacific Ocean; π: nucleotide diversity.

2.3 | Data analysis

2.3.1 | Genetic diversity

Sequences were edited, trimmed and aligned using Muscle (Edgar, 2004) as implemented in Geneious 8.1.6 (Kearse *et al.*, 2012). To ensure that only functional mtDNA sequences were used, all sequences were translated into amino acids in Squint Alignment Editor 1.0.2 (Goode & Rodrigo, 2007). Thereafter, haplotypes were identified using the online web services of FaBox 1.41 (Villesen, 2007). The haplotype (*h*) and nucleotide (π) diversity were calculated in Arlequin 3.5 (Excoffier & Lischer, 2010).

2.3.2 | Phylogenetic analysis

The phylogenetic inference was based on A. *triostegus* haplotypes, with sequences from the three sister species Acanthurus lineatus (L. 1758) (EU273284.2), Acanthurus nigricans (L. 1758) (DQ111100 and DQ111099) and Ctenochaetus striatus (Quoy & Gaimard 1825) (KU244260) being used as outgroups. Ctenochaetus striatus was used to root the tree. The Akaike and all other criteria implemented in jModelTest 2.1.10 (Posada, 2008) suggested the Hasegawa–Kishino–Yano (HKY) + I + G as the best-fit model of evolution for the ATPase sequences. Bayesian phylogenetic analyses were conducted using MrBayes 3.2.6 ×64 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2001). Priors were set according to the HKY model with a γ -distribution and allowing for invariable sites (lset nst = 2 rates = invgamma). Two times four Markov chains run in parallel, three heated and one cold, using a random starting tree. All eight chains were run simultaneously for 10 million generations, with trees being sampled every 1,000 generations for a total of 80,002 trees. The first 25% of the trees were discarded as burn-in after confirming convergence of likelihood values of each chain using the commands sump and sumt. The majority-rule consensus tree containing the posterior probabilities of the phylogeny was determined from 60,002 trees. A spreadsheet program (Microsoft Excel 2010; www.microsoft.com) was used to generate pie charts of the contribution of the different biogeographical regions for identified haplogroups (Figure 1(g)). A minimum spanning network was created using the software PopART 1.7 (Bandelt *et al.*, 1999) with default settings (Figure 1(h)).

2.3.3 | Genetic population structure

The level of genetic differentiation among and between sampling locations was estimated by analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA), hierarchical AMOVA and pairwise Φ_{ST} values in Arlequin, with a significance level of 0.05 and 10,000 permutations. Sequences sampled in different geographical locations were hierarchically grouped in the AMOVA, according to specific biogeographic hypotheses. The division between the Indian and the Pacific Oceans was tested by contrasting Indian (all sample sites west of Torres Strait; 10° S; 142° E) and Pacific Ocean populations (all sample sites east of the Torres Strait). Division within each Indo-Pacific Basin was tested by comparing WIO and EIO populations in the Indian Ocean and WP, CP and EP in the Pacific Ocean. Linear correlation between pairwise Φ_{ST} values and geographic distances was tested with the software package car (Fox & Weisberg, 2011) in R 3.2.2 (www.r-project.org), with the shortest marine distance between sampling locations measured to the nearest 5 km in Google Earth (www.earth.google.com). A multidimensional scaling (MDS) plot was drawn in XLstat 7.5.2 (www.xlstat-pro. software.informer.com) to visualise the genetic differences between Indo-Pacific sample sites.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Genetic diversity

In total, 262 individuals were used in the analyses, including 35 new sequences from the WIO region (GenBank accession numbers: MF139577-MF139611). The sequence alignment was trimmed to 796 bp, yielding 89 unique haplotypes, 91 substitutions and 88 polymorphic sites. On the one hand, haplotype diversity was mostly \geq 0.7 at all the sample sites, with the exception of one sample site in the EP (Revillagigedos Islands; 19° N; 112° W). The nucleotide diversity values, on the other hand, ranged from 0 to 0.009 within sample sites. In particular, the EP region had lower nucleotide diversity values than the WIO region; while the CP and WP were characterised by nucleotide diversity values \geq 0.002 (Table 1).

3.2 | Genetic population structure

AMOVA and pairwise Φ_{ST} values were non-significant among the WIO sample sites ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.024$, P > 0.05; Table 2), supporting the hypothesis of genetic homogeneity. The genetic similarity between the WIO sample sites is also shown in the MDS plot, with all the five sample sites clustering together (Figure 2). In contrast, strong genetic differentiation was displayed when all sample sites from the Indian Ocean were considered (KU, MO, DS, MT, AN, AR, NI and ET, $\Phi_{ST} = 0.124$, P < 0.05; Table 3). Further analysis in the hierarchical AMOVA indicated genetic differentiation between the WIO and EIO populations ($\Phi_{CT} = 0.152$, P < 0.05) (Table 3).

Across the Pacific Ocean, AMOVA revealed $\Phi_{ST} = 0.55$ (P < 0.05), with 55% of variation being among populations and 45% within populations. However, the hierarchical analysis involving the three biogeographical regions of the Pacific Ocean, *i.e.*, WP, CP and EP did not reject the hypothesis of genetic homogeneity across the Pacific ($\Phi_{CT} = -0.00738$, P > 0.05; Table 3). In particular, the central Pacific showed genetic similarity to both the WP and EP (EP-CP $\Phi_{CT} = 0.03$, P > 0.05, WP-CP $\Phi_{CT} = -0.04961$, P > 0.05). However, the exclusion of the CP populations from the hierarchical grouping displayed a pronounced genetic structure (WP-EP $\Phi_{CT} = 0.23$, P < 0.05; Table 3).

On the scale of the entire Indo-Pacific Basin, the overall AMOVA without *a priori* grouping showed evidence of significant differentiation (Φ_{ST} = 0.53, *P* < 0.05). The pairwise Φ st estimates revealed 25 (8.3%) significant pairwise comparisons after sequential Bonferroni

URNAL OF **FISH**BIOLOGY

correction, with differences being mostly represented by Hawaii and Johnston Island (16° 43′ 45″ N; 169° 32′ 00″ W) (Table 2). Nevertheless, the hierarchical grouping of Indian (all samples west of the Torres Strait) and Pacific (all samples east of the Torres Strait) populations failed to support the vicariance hypothesis, showing a lack of genetic differentiation between the two ocean basins ($\Phi_{CT} = -0.02, P > 0.05$) (Table 3). However, the correlation between pairwise Φ_{ST} values and geographic distance was significant ($r^2 = 0.19, P < 0.05$; Figure 3), indicating that dispersal of *A. triostegus* in the Indo-Pacific Basin follows an isolation-by-distance model. The isolation-by-distance was also supported by the MDS plot, which showed samples sites from respective biogeographic regions clustering together (Figure 2).

In total, three haplogroups can be deduced from the majority consensus tree of the Bayesian analysis (split frequencies in the sampled trees mean s.D. = 0.007339; Figure 1(g)). Haplogroup 1 (posterior probability = 0.98) and 3 (posterior probability = 0.99) are well supported, while haplogroup 2 appears like a conglomeration of haplotypes branching off haplogroup 1. With the exception of haplogroup 3, which is restricted to Hawaii and Johnston Island, the other two haplogroups are not arranged according to geographical locations. Haplogroup 1 has several shared haplotypes among the five biogeographical regions, with the most extreme sharing being between Panama (EP) and Kiunga, Papua New Guinea (WIO) (Figure 1(g),(h)). While haplogroup 2 is also shared between the two ocean basins, its frequency is higher in WIO sample sites (Figure 1(b),(g)). The haplotype network is characterized by a star-like structure, with dominant haplotypes connected to several singletons (Figure 1(h)).

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Genetic population structure

4.1.1 | WIO and Indian Ocean connectivity

The AMOVA analysis reveals genetic connectivity ($\phi_{ST} = 0.024$, P > 0.05) across three WIO ecoregions: North Monsoon Current Coast (represented by Kiunga), East African Coral Coast (represented by Mombasa, Dar es Salaam and Mtwara) and western and northern Madagascar (represented by Anakao) (Spalding et al., 2007). Gene flow among the A. triostegus population is likely to be mediated by its pelagic larval phase and prevailing ocean currents in the WIO (Figure S1 in Supporting information). Although the larvae of A. triostegus can swim at an average speed of 0.56 m s⁻¹ (Leis & Carson-Ewart, 1997; Stobutzki & Bellwood, 1997), this is considerably less than the mean speed of the East African Coast Current (1 m s⁻¹) and Mozambique channel eddies (> 0.5 m s⁻¹) (Lumpkin & Johnson, 2013; Swallow et al., 1991). The interaction of A. triostegus larvae with the strong WIO currents can limit their ability to influence dispersal and settlement (self-recruitment), favouring long-distance dispersal. However, it is unlikely that dispersal in A. triostegus is entirely a function of ocean currents (passive), as a large number of larvae would be lost through this mechanism, thinning out its population over ecological time scales (Cowen et al., 2000). It is possible, therefore, that this species employ both active (short) and passive (long) dispersal mechanisms. Active dispersal between coral-reef habitats in the WIO might be mediated

t sample site abbreviations)	EIO EIO WIO WIO WIO WIO	AR ET DS KU MO MT																				0.00	0.09 0.00	0.38* 0.14 0.00	0.24 0.11 0.00 0.00	0.29 0.07 -0.01 0.02 0.00	0.61 0.32 -0.08 0.04 0.06 0.00	0.12 -0.02 0.06 0.01 -0.05 0.23	
l region a	EIO	z																		0	t 00.00	0.00) -0.02	l 0.24	2 0.14	0.15	3 0.42	3 0.02	
graphical	B	RI																	0	35 0.00	0.34	0.50	0.39	25 0.51	3 0.42	8 0.50	52 0.78	0 0.48	
biogeog	Ð	ΰ																0	4 0.0	0 0.3	8 -0.0	8 -0.0	6 0.0	0 0.2	9 0.1	7 0.1	5 0.5	2 0.1	
le 1 for l	Ð	ΡA															~	0.00	1-0.0	0.0(0.18	3 0.28	0.26	0.40	3 0.29	0.3	3 0.6	0.3	
see Tabl	Ð	Ъ															0.0	-0.22	-0.04	0.00	0.19	0.28	0.26	0.39	0.28	0.36	0.63	0.32	
Basin (s	WP	SI														0.00	0.19	0.19	-0.03	0.35	-0.06	-0.01	-0.02	0.22	0.12	0.14	0.42	0.00	
-Pacific	WP	ВM													0.00	-0.06	0.09	0.10	-0.09	0.32	-0.05	-0.03	0.03	0.28	0.17	0.21	0.51	0.10	
the Indo	WP	⊐												0.00	0.03	0.00	0.15	0.14	-0.01	0.26	0.02	0.07	0.02	0.07	-0.04	0.03	0.16	-0.03	
tegus in	WP	LR											0.00	0.08	0.02	-0.02	0.32	0.32	0.07	0.49	-0.02	0.02	0.01	0.30	0.22	0.18	0.51	0.03	
urus trios	WP	КG										0.00	0.02	-0.03	-0.04	-0.04	0.16	0.16	-0.04	0.31	-0.03	-0.01	0.00	0.18	0.05	0.11	0.34	-0.01	
Acanthu	8	Ð									0.00	-0.04	0.06	-0.01	-0.04	-0.02	0.07	0.07	-0.12	0.25	0.00	-0.02	0.07	0.24	0.09	0.17	0.42	0.06	
nples of	8	ť								0.00	0.00	-0.02	0.00	0.05	-0.06	-0.04	0.16	0.16	-0.03	0.30	-0.04	-0.01	0.02	0.29	0.17	0.21	0.46	0.05	
ence sai	9	=							0.00	0.85	0.84	0.82	0.87*	0.77*	0.85	0.84	0.87	0.87	0.85	0.91	0.84	0.90	0.81	0.72	0.79	0.75	0.79	0.82	
ed sequ	G	ТG						0.00	0.77	0.09	0.03	-0.02	0.09	-0.09	0.10	0.03	0.24	0.25	0.05	0.41	0.05	0.16	0.00	-0.04	-0.09	-0.08	0.06	-0.09	
eference	Ъ	≥					0.00	-0.07	0.79	0.01	0.02	-0.03	0.01	-0.03	0.02	-0.03	0.20	0.20	0.02	0.33	-0.02	0.05	-0.04	0.09	0.03	0.02	0.22	-0.06	
of geor	8	KR				0.00	0.00	0.10	0.82	0.02	0.16	0.07	0.03	0.08	0.02	-0.01	0.35	0.37	0.15	0.56	-0.02	0.21	-0.07	0.20	0.23	0.13	0.46	0.07	(action)
_T values	ъ	Σ			0.00	0.09	-0.07	-0.03	0.86	-0.07	-0.07	-0.10	-0.05	-0.05	-0.03	-0.08	0.33	0.36	0.00	0.71	-0.07	-0.06	-0.03	0.16	0.09	0.08	0.47	-0.04	
wise \varPhi_{S}	8	ΗA		0.00	0.84*	0.83*	0.81*	0.80*	0.12	0.85*	0.83*	0.83*	0.85*	0.79*	0.84*	0.84*	0.85*	0.85*	0.84*	0.86*	0.84*	0.87*	0.82*	0.77*	0.80*	0.79*	0.80	0.82*	"Donfou
2 Pair	n (R)	(6	S	ЧA	Σ	Ж	≥	д	╕	СĶ	Ŀ	Ŋ	LR	⊐	Ю М	SI	СF	PA	Ū	R	z	AR	ET	DS	Ŋ	Ю	МΤ	AN	01 /080
TABLE	Regio	Site (5	Ж	9	9	9	Ð	9	G	G	9	WP	WP	WP	WP	WP	Б	EP	Б	EP	EIO	EIO	EIO	MIO	MIO	MIO	MIO	MIO	() ***

*p < 0.01 (after Bonferroni correction).

603



FIGURE 2 A multidimensional scale (MDS) plot for pairwise Φ_{ST} estimates among 25 populations of Acanthurus triostegus. Groups: I (Hawaii and Johnston Island), II (western Indian Ocean), III (central and western Pacific Ocean), and IV (eastern Pacific Ocean) (see Table I for biogeographical region and sample site abbreviations)

primarily by the late stages of A. triostegus larvae (Leis & Carson-Ewart, 1997; Stobutzki & Bellwood, 1997), which can sustain their swimming ability for up to 194 hours, covering a distance of 60 nautical miles in a single bout (Stobutzki & Bellwood, 1997). Overall, the results of genetic homogeneity in A. triostegus are consistent with the findings of biophysical modeling of connectivity, which indicates that population connectivity in the WIO increases with increase in dispersal ability (Crochelet et al., 2016; Mayorga-Adame et al., 2017). Genetic homogeneity in the WIO has also been observed in other reef fish such as Lutjanus kasmira (Forsskål 1775) (Muths et al., 2012), Scarus ghobban Forsskål 1775 (Visram et al., 2010), Amphiprion akallopisos Bleeker 1853 (Huyghe & Kochzius, 2017), Dascyllus trimaculatus (Rüppell 1829) (O'Donnell et al., 2017) and Acanthurus leucosternon Bennet 1833 (Otwoma et al., 2018). Nevertheless, the lack of structure found for A. triostegus in the WIO have to be interpreted with caution as the number of individuals analysed for this region is low.

The overall AMOVA involving all Indian Ocean sample sites show a strong genetic differentiation ($\phi_{ST} = 0.124$, P < 0.05), rejecting the hypothesis of genetic homogeneity within the Indian Ocean. Further analysis in the hierarchical AMOVA suggests a differentiation between EIO and WIO A. *triostegus* populations ($\phi_{CT} = 0.152$, P < 0.05). This genetic differentiation between EIO and WIO has previously been

shown in species with PLDs not longer than 22 days such as the echinoderms Linckia laevigata (22 days; Otwoma & Kochzius, 2016; Williams & Benzie, 1998) and Acanthaster planci (14-21 days; Benzie, 1999; Vogler et al., 2012), giant clam Tridacna spp. (9-12 days; Hui et al., 2016), A. akallopisos (7-22 days; Huyghe & Kochzius, 2017) and prawn Penaeus monodon (c. 14 days; Benzie et al., 2002; Duda Jr & Palumbi, 1999). However, species with PLDs reaching up to 40 to 90 days display genetic homogeneity across the Indian Ocean. These include Myripristis berndti Jordan & Evermann 1903 (55 days; Craig et al., 2007), Naso spp. Lacépède 1801 (60-90 days; Horne et al., 2008), Acanthurus leucosternon (c. 55 days; DiBattista et al., 2016), Coris cuvieri (Bennett 1831) (53 days; Ahti et al., 2016) and Lutjanus kasmira (20-44 days; Gaither et al., 2010). The findings of this study present the first report of an EIO-WIO differentiation in a species with great dispersal potential (A. triostegus; PLD 44-60 days), which is inconsistent with previous studies (Ahti et al., 2016; Craig et al., 2007; DiBattista et al., 2016; Gaither et al., 2010; Horne et al., 2008). This discordance of genetic patterns in different species spanning the Indian Ocean underpins the suggestion that marine species respond uniquely to the dynamic marine environment (Crandall et al., 2008a). Besides, marine barriers solely based on distance (e.g., the barrier between WIO and EIO) are semipermeable in nature and may allow sporadic dispersal

💵 F ISH BIOLOGY 📠

TABLE 3 Hierarchical analysis (AMOVA) based on nucleotide diversity of *Acanthurus triostegus* with alternative grouping of samples sites in the Indo-Pacific Basin (see Table 1 for biogeographical region and sample site abbreviations)

Grouping	${oldsymbol{\Phi}}$ Statistics	Р
Indian Ocean		
WIO (DS,KU,MO,MT,AN)	$\Phi_{\rm ST}$ = 0.024	>0.05
Indian Ocean (DS,KU,MO,MT,AN,AR,ET,NI)	$\Phi_{\rm ST}$ = 0.124	<0.05
WIO (DS,KU,MO,MT,AN) EIO (AR,ET,NI)	$\Phi_{\rm CT}$ = 0.152	<0.05
Pacific Ocean		
(KG,LR,LI,MG,SI,CK,HA,FJ,JI,KR,MI,TG,TV,CF,CI,PA,RI)	Φ_{ST} = 0.55	<0.05
WP (KG,LR,LI,MG,SI) CP (CK,HA,FJ,JI,KR,MI,TG,TV) EP (CF,CI,PA,RI)	$\Phi_{\rm CT}$ = -0.00738	>0.05
WP (KG,LR,LI,MG,SI) CP (CK,HA,FJ,JI,KR,MI,TG,TV)	Φ_{CT} = -0.04961	>0.05
CP (CK,HA,FJ,JI,KR,MI,TG,TV) EP (CF,CI,PA,RI)	$\Phi_{\rm CT}$ = 0.03	>0.05
WP (KG,LR,LI,MG,SI) EP (CF,CI,PA,RI)	$\Phi_{\rm CT}$ = 0.23	<0.05
Indo-Pacific		
Indian (DS,KU,MO,MT,AN,AR,ET,NI) Pacific (KG,LR,LI,MG,SI,CK,HA,FJ,JI,KR,MI,TG,TV,CF,CI,PA,RI)	$\Phi_{\rm CT}$ = -0.02	>0.05
(DS,KU,MO,MT,AN,AR,ET,NI,KG,LR,LI,MG,SI,CK,HA,FJ,JI,KR,MI,TG,TV,CF,CI,PA,RI)	$\Phi_{ST} = 0.53$	<0.05

across them when conditions are favourable (DiBattista *et al.*, 2012), leading to discordant population structures even in species possessing similar life-history characteristics (DiBattista *et al.*, 2012; Lessios & Robertson, 2006). This also indicates that PLD alone cannot adequately predict the genetic population structure of marine populations.

4.1.2 | Indo-Pacific

Despite the addition of sequences from two peripheral biogeographic regions (WIO and EP) to the Liggins *et al.* (2016) dataset (a largely EIO, WP and CP dataset), the results of this study do not support the vicariance hypothesis (Φ_{CT} -0.02, P > 0.05). This genetic pattern largely matches the findings of an earlier study on *A. triostegus* using cytochrome oxidase I (COI) as a marker (Mirams *et al.*, 2011). The general concordance between ATPase (present study) and COI (Mirams *et al.*, 2011) in inferences of the phylogeographic pattern is due to the

same mode of inheritance, as both markers are found on the mitochondrial locus. In contrast, a allozyme study on *A. triostegus* across the Indo-Pacific Basin shows a significant genetic differentiation between the Indian and Pacific Ocean populations (Planes & Fauvelot, 2002). Similar discordances between mtDNA and allozymes have been shown in other marine organisms (Elliott, 1996; Williams *et al.*, 2002), with allozymes displaying a higher level of genetic differentiation than mtDNA. A possible explanation for this difference is that allozymes (nuclear) take a longer time to reach equilibrium between genetic drift and migration than mtDNA (Larmuseau *et al.*, 2010; Williams *et al.*, 2002); consequently, they are more reflective of the effect of past historical barriers to dispersal than present-day gene flow. Overall, this finding adds to the growing number of studies that report a lack of genetic divergence at the Indo-Pacific Barrier (IPB) in other shallow-water marine taxa (Gaither *et al.*, 2010, 2011b; Horne *et al.*,



FIGURE 3 A scatter plot of the correlation between the geographic distance (km) and pairwise Φ_{ST} estimates for the 25 sampling locations in the Indo-Pacific Basin ($r^2 = 0.19$, P < 0.05). HA: Hawaii; JI: Johnston Island

2008: Klanten et al., 2007: Kochzius et al., 2009: Lessios et al., 1999. 2001; Reid et al., 2006) and is in contrast to the effect of lowered sea level during Pleistocene glacial cycles. Sea level repeatedly dropped as much as 120 m below present levels, limiting genetic exchange between the Indian and Pacific Ocean populations of various taxa (reviewed extensively by Carpenter et al., 2011). The absence of a genetic break in A. triostegus is not a confirmation that the Pleistocene sea-level low stands had no effect on this species, but most likely an indication of the guick re-establishment of substantial gene flow between the Indian and Pacific Ocean populations of A. triostegus since the last isolation by sea-level low stands (Horne et al., 2008). This hypothesis is supported by A. triostegus great dispersal potential and generalist nature. Unlike other habitat-specific species, A. triostegus can occur in highly unstable environments such as tide pools and bays that could have enabled it to quickly colonize the new habitats along the IPB during sea-level transgression (Mirams et al., 2011).

A lack of a genetic break between the Indian and the Pacific Ocean is also corroborated by the geographical distribution of haplogroups in the Indo-Pacific. On the one hand, haplogroup 1 is found at all samples sites albeit at a lower frequency in the WIO. On the other hand, haplogroup 2 dominates in the WIO but is found at a lower frequency in the EIO, WP and CP and is absent in EP. Haplogroup 3 is the most divergent group and occurs exclusively in Hawaii and Johnston Island (Figure 1). These two sample sites are the documented range for the subspecies Acanthurus triostegus sandvicensis in which Streets (1877) noted the differences in the fin ray number and colouration pattern of A. triostegus from Hawaii and Johnston Island, without intergradations to A. triostegus from other sites (Schultz & Woods, 1948). This observation led Streets (1877) to suggest a separate species Acanthurus sandvicensis. However, this was disputed by Randall (1956), who attributes the differentiation to differences in water temperature and geographical isolation of Hawaii and Johnston Island and suggests the rank of a subspecies (Acanthurus triostegus sandvicensis). Both Lessios and Robertson (2006) and Liggins et al. (2016) report a genetic divergence between Acanthurus triostegus sandvicensis and the remaining CP, WP and EP populations (Acanthurus triostegus triostegus). The evolution of this subspecies in Hawaii and Johnston Island is consistent with recent evidence, indicating that peripheral habitats such as Hawaii and Johnston Island are not just evolutionary graveyards, but also produce and export new species to central biodiversity hotspots areas (Bowen et al., 2013; Eble et al., 2011; Fitzpatrick et al., 2011). The wide distribution of dominant haplotypes in the Indo-Pacific Basin indicates genetic exchange at small and large scale (Figures 1 and 4). This suggests that the genetic population structure of A. triostegus can be explained by a metapopulation migrant-pool model, where each population has an equal chance of providing colonizers. Such a dispersal mechanism could have enabled frequent larval exchange between the Indian and Pacific Ocean populations, gradually eroding the genetic break between these two basins (Horne, 2014). The great dispersal ability and cosmopolitan nature of A. triostegus support this view (Fisher & Hogan, 2007; Leis & Carson-Ewart, 1997; McCormick, 1999; Stobutzki & Bellwood, 1997).

JRNAL OF **FISH**BIOLOGY

Although the hierarchical AMOVA does not support the existence of a genetic break in the Indo-Pacific, the overall AMOVA without a priori grouping display a strong genetic differentiation in the Indo-Pacific (ϕ_{sT} = 0.53, P < 0.05). This can be attributed to a dispersal model that follows isolation-by-distance in A. triostegus ($r^2 = 0.19$, P < 0.05), which has also been demonstrated in previous allozymes study (Planes & Fauvelot, 2002). The finding of isolation-by-distance is not surprising given the sample sites of this study spread across a geographic distance of more than 28,000 km that is characterised by discontinuous reef habitats. Notably, pairwise comparisons with Hawaii and Johnston Islands populations exhibit higher Φ_{ST} values even at a relatively short distance (Figure 3 and Table 2), possibly due to self-recruitment presumed to occur at these sites (Wren et al., 2016). The spatial arrangement of samples sites in the MDS plot correspond to the genetic similarity of sample sites, providing further evidence of isolation-by-distance (Figure 2).

4.2 | Genetic diversity

The genetic diversity estimates revealed mostly high haplotype and low nucleotide diversity values, a pattern common to other marine fishes. High haplotype diversity in this species could be a result of mixing between the Indian and Pacific Ocean populations, which is made possible by the great dispersal ability of *A. triostegus*. These molecular diversity indices and star-shaped network signal a population expansion after a period of small effective population size, which is consistent with the effect of Pleistocene multiple glaciations (Grant & Bowen, 1998).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank P. Matiku (Tanzanian Fisheries Research Institute) for logistical support, D. Ocharo, J. Omweri and A. Athman (KMFRI), as well as J. Ndagala, H. Ratsimbazafy (VUB) and S. Chikambo for sampling and fieldwork assistance. We also thank S. Peters (ZMT) for laboratory assistance.

REFERENCES

- Ahti, P. A., Coleman, R. R., DiBattista, J. D., Berumen, M. L., Rocha, L. A., & Bowen, B. W. (2016). Phylogeography of Indo-Pacific reef fishes: Sister wrasses *Coris gaimard* and *C. cuvieri* in the Red Sea, Indian Ocean and Pacific Ocean. *Journal of Biogeography*, 43, 1103–1115. https://doi. org/10.1111/jbi.12712
- Bandelt, H. J., Forster, P., & Rohl, A. (1999). Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 16, 37–48. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026036
- Barber, P. H., Palumbi, S. R., Erdmann, M. V., & Moosa, M. K. (2002). Sharp genetic breaks among populations of *Haptosquilla pulchella* (Stomatopoda) indicate limits to larval transport: Patterns, causes and consequences. *Molecular Ecology*, 11, 659–674. https://doi.org/10. 1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01468.x
- Bay, L. K., Choat, J. H., van Herwerden, L., & Robertson, D. R. (2004). High genetic diversities and complex genetic structure in an Indo-Pacific tropical reef fish (*Chlorurus sordidus*): Evidence of an unstable evolutionary past? *Marine Biology*, 144, 757–767. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00227-003-1224-3
- Benzie, J. A. H. (1999). Major genetic differences between crown-of-thorns starfish (Acanthaster planci) Populations in the Indian

JOURNAL OF **FISH** BIOLOGY

and Pacific Oceans. Evolution, 53, 1782-1795. https://doi.org/10. 2307/2640440

- Benzie, J. A. H., Ballment, E., Forbes, A. T., Demetriades, N. T., Sugama, K., Haryanti, & Moria, S. (2002). Mitochondrial DNA variation in Indo-Pacific populations of the giant tiger prawn, *Penaeus monodon*. *Molecular Ecology*, 11, 2553–2569. https://doi.org/10.1046/j. 1365-294X.2002.01638.x
- Bowen, B. W., Rocha, L. A., Toonen, R. J., & Karl, S. A. (2013). The origins of tropical marine biodiversity. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 28, 359–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2013.01.018
- Carpenter, K. E., Barber, P. H., Crandall, E. D., Ablan-Lagman, M. C. A., Ambariyanto, A., Mahardika, G. N., ... Toha, A. H. A. (2011). Comparative phylogeography of the Coral Triangle and implications for marine management. *Journal of Marine Biology*, 2011, 1–14. https://doi. org/10.1155/2011/396982
- Cowen, R. K., Lwiza, K. M. M., Sponaugle, S., Paris, C. B., & Olson, D. B. (2000). Connectivity of marine populations: Open or closed? *Science*, 287, 857–859. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5454.857
- Craig, M. T., Eble, J. A., Robertson, D. R., & Bowen, B. W. (2007). High genetic connectivity across the Indian and Pacific Oceans in the reef fish Myripristis berndti (Holocentridae). Marine Ecology Progress Series, 334, 245–254. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps334245
- Crandall, E. D., Frey, M. A., Grosberg, R. K., & Barber, P. H. (2008a). Contrasting demographic history and phylogeographical patterns in two Indo-Pacific gastropods. *Molecular Ecology*, 17, 611–626. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03600.x
- Crandall, E. D., Jones, M. E., Munoz, M. M., Akinronbi, B., Erdmann, M. V., & Barber, P. H. (2008b). Comparative phylogeography of two seastars and their ectosymbionts within the Coral Triangle. *Molecular Ecology*, 17, 5276–5290. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1365-294X.2008.03995.x
- Crochelet, E., Roberts, J., Lagabrielle, E., Obura, D., Petit, M., & Chabanet, P. (2016). A model-based assessment of reef larvae dispersal in the Western Indian Ocean reveals regional connectivity patterns – Potential implications for conservation policies. *Regional Studies in Marine Science*, 7, 159–167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2016. 06.007
- DeBoer, T. S., Subia, M. D., Erdmann, M. V., Kovitvongsa, K., & Barber, P. H. (2008). Phylogeography and limited genetic connectivity in the endangered boring giant clam across the Coral Triangle. *Conservation Biology*, *22*, 1255–1266. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739. 2008.00983.x
- DiBattista, J. D., Rocha, L. A., Craig, M. T., Feldheim, K. A., & Bowen, B. W. (2012). Phylogeography of two closely related Indo-Pacific butterflyfishes reveals divergent evolutionary histories and discordant results from mtDNA and microsatellites. *Journal of Heredity*, 103, 617–629. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/ess056
- DiBattista, J. D., Travers, M. J., Moore, G. I., Evans, R. D., Newman, S. J., Feng, M., ... Berry, O. (2017). Seascape genomics reveals fine-scale patterns of dispersal for a reef fish along the ecologically divergent coast of northwestern Australia. *Molecular Ecology*, 26, 6206–6223. https:// doi.org/10.1111/mec.14352
- DiBattista, J. D., Whitney, J., Craig, M. T., Hobbs, J.-P. A., Rocha, L. A., Feldheim, K. A., ... Bowen, B. W. (2016). Surgeons and suture zones: Hybridization among four surgeonfish species in the indo-Pacific with variable evolutionary outcomes. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 101, 203–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2016.04.036
- Duda, F. T., Jr., & Palumbi, R. S. (1999). Population structure of the black tiger prawn, *Penaeus monodon*, among western Indian Ocean and western Pacific populations. *Marine Biology*, 134, 705–710. https://doi. org/10.1007/s002270050586
- Eble, J. A., Toonen, R. J., Sorenson, L., Basch, L. V., Papastamatiou, Y. P., & Bowen, B. W. (2011). Escaping paradise: Larval export from Hawaii in an Indo-Pacific reef fish, the Yellow Tang (*Zebrasoma flavescens*). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 428, 245–258. https://doi.org/10. 3354/meps09083
- Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *32*, 1792–1797. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340
- Elliott, N. (1996). Allozyme and mitochondrial DNA analysis of the tropical saddle-tail sea perch, Lutjanus malabaricus (Schneider), from Australian

waters. Marine and Freshwater Research, 47, 869-876. https://doi. org/10.1071/MF9960869

- Excoffier, L., & Lischer, H. E. L. (2010). Arlequin suite ver 3.5: A new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 10, 564–567. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02847.x
- Fisher, R., & Hogan, J. D. (2007). Morphological predictors of swimming speed: A case study of pre-settlement juvenile coral reef fishes. *Journal* of Experimental Biology, 210, 2436–2443. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb. 004275
- Fisher, R., Leis, J. M., Clark, D. L., & Wilson, S. K. (2005). Critical swimming speeds of late-stage coral reef fish larvae: Variation within species, among species and between locations. *Marine Biology*, 147, 1201–1212. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-005-0001-x
- Fitzpatrick, J. M., Carlon, D. B., Lippe, C., & Robertson, D. R. (2011). The west Pacific diversity hotspot as a source or sink for new species? Population genetic insights from the Indo-Pacific parrotfish *Scarus rubroviolaceus. Molecular Ecology*, 20, 219–234. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1365-294X.2010.04942.x
- Fleminger, A. (1986). The Pleistocene equatorial barrier between the Indian and Pacific Oceans and a likely cause for Wallace's line. UNESCO Technical Papers in Marine Science, 49, 84–97. Retrieved from http:// unesdoc.unesco.org/ulis/cgi-bin/ExtractPDF.pl?catno=72812&look= default&ll=1
- Fox, J., & Weisberg, S. (2011). An {R} companion to applied regression (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks CA: Sage. Retrieved from www. socserv.socsci. mcmaster.ca/jfox/Books/Companion
- Gaither, M. R., Bowen, B. W., Bordenave, T. R., Rocha, L. A., Newman, S. J., Gomez, J. A., ... Craig, M. T. (2011a). Phylogeography of the reef fish *Cephalopholis argus* (Epinephelidae) indicates Pleistocene isolation across the indo-pacific barrier with contemporary overlap in the coral triangle. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 11, 189. https://doi.org/10. 1186/1471-2148-11-189
- Gaither, M. R., Jones, S. A., Kelley, C., Newman, S. J., Sorenson, L., & Bowen, B. W. (2011b). High connectivity in the Deepwater snapper *Pristipomoides filamentosus* (Lutjanidae) across the indo-Pacific with isolation of the Hawaiian Archipelago. *PLoS One*, 6, e28913. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028913
- Gaither, M. R., Toonen, R. J., Robertson, D. R., Planes, S., & Bowen, B. W. (2010). Genetic evaluation of marine biogeographical barriers: Perspectives from two widespread indo-Pacific snappers (*Lutjanus kasmira* and *Lutjanus fulvus*). *Journal of Biogeography*, 37, 133–147. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2009.02188.x
- Goode, M. G., & Rodrigo, A. G. (2007). SQUINT: A multiple alignment program and editor. *Bioinformatics*, 23, 1553–1555. https://doi.org/10. 1093/bioinformatics/btm128
- Grant, W., & Bowen, B. (1998). Shallow population histories in deep evolutionary lineages of marine fishes: Insights from sardines and anchovies and lessons for conservation. *Journal of Heredity*, 89, 415–426. https:// doi.org/10.1093/jhered/89.5.415
- Hartup, J. A., Marshell, A., Stevens, G., Kottermair, M., & Carlson, P. (2013). *Manta alfredi* target multispecies surgeonfish spawning aggregations. *Coral Reefs*, 32, 367–367. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-013-1022-4
- Hernawan, U. E., van Dijk, K.-J., Kendrick, G. A., Feng, M., Biffin, E., Lavery, P. S., & McMahon, K. (2017). Historical processes and contemporary ocean currents drive genetic structure in the seagrass *Thalassia hemprichii* in the indo-Australian archipelago. *Molecular Ecology*, *26*, 1008–1021. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13966
- Horne, J. B. (2014). Thinking outside the barrier: Neutral and adaptive divergence in indo-Pacific coral reef faunas. *Evolutionary Ecology*, 28, 991–1002. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-014-9724-9
- Horne, J. B., van Herwerden, L., Choat, J. H., & Robertson, D. R. (2008). High population connectivity across the indo-Pacific: Congruent lack of phylogeographic structure in three reef fish congeners. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 49, 629–638. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ympev.2008.08.023
- Huelsenbeck, J. P., & Ronquist, F. (2001). MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics*, 17, 754–755.
- Hui, M., Kraemer, W. E., Seidel, C., Nuryanto, A., Joshi, A., & Kochzius, M. (2016). Comparative genetic population structure of three endangered

IOURNAL OF **FISH**BIOLOGY

giant clams (Cardiidae: Tridacna species) throughout the indo-West Pacific: Implications for divergence, connectivity and conservation. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, *82*, 403–414. https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/eyw001

- Hui, M., Nuryanto, A., & Kochzius, M. (2017). Concordance of microsatellite and mitochondrial DNA markers in detecting genetic population structure in the boring giant clam, *Tridacna crocea*, across the indo-Malay archipelago. *Marine Ecology - An Evolutionary Perspective*, 38, e12389. https://doi.org/10.1111/maec.12389
- Huyghe, F., & Kochzius, M. (2017). Highly restricted gene flow between disjunct populations of the skunk clownfish (*Amphiprion akallopisos*) in the Indian Ocean. *Marine Ecology*, 38, e12357. https://doi.org/10. 1111/maec.12357
- Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., ... Drummond, A. (2012). Geneious basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 28, 1647–1649. https://doi.org/10. 1093/bioinformatics/bts199
- Klanten, O. S., Choat, J. H., & van Herwerden, L. (2007). Extreme genetic diversity and temporal rather than spatial partitioning in a widely distributed coral reef fish. *Marine Biology*, 150, 659–670. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00227-006-0372-7
- Kochzius, M., & Nuryanto, A. (2008). Strong genetic population structure in the boring giant clam, *Tridacna crocea*, across the Indo-Malay archipelago: Implications related to evolutionary processes and connectivity. *Molecular Ecology*, 17, 3775–3787. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1365-294X.2008.03803.x
- Kochzius, M., Seidel, C., Hauschild, J., Kirchhoff, S., Mester, P., Meyer-Wachsmuth, I., ... Timm, J. (2009). Genetic population structures of the blue starfish *Linckia laevigata* and its gastropod ectoparasite *Thyca crystallina*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 396, 211–220. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08281
- Kochzius, M., Söller, R., Khalaf, M. A., & Blohm, D. (2003). Molecular phylogeny of the lionfish genera *Dendrochirus* and *Pterois* (Scorpaenidae, Pteroinae) based on mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 28, 396–403. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1055-7903(02)00444-X
- Larmuseau, M. H. D., Raeymaekers, J. A. M., Hellemans, B., Van Houdt, J. K. J., & Volckaert, F. A. M. (2010). Mito-nuclear discordance in the degree of population differentiation in a marine goby. *Heredity*, 105, 532–542. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2010.9
- Lavery, S., Moritz, C., & Fielder, D. R. (1996). Indo-Pacific Ocean population structure and evolutionary history of the coconut crab *Birgus latro*. *Molecular Ecology*, *5*, 557–570. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X. 1996.00125.x
- Leis, M. J., & Carson-Ewart, M. B. (1997). In situ swimming speeds of the late pelagic larvae of some indo-Pacific coral-reef fishes. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 159, 165–174. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps 159165
- Lessios, H. A., Kessing, B. D., & Pearse, J. S. (2001). Population structure and speciation in tropical seas: Global phylogeography of the sea urchin *Diadema*. *Evolution*, 55, 955–975. https://doi.org/10. 1554/0014-3820(2001)055[0955:PSASIT]2.0.CO;2
- Lessios, H. A., Kessing, B. D., Robertson, D. R., & Paulay, G. (1999). Phylogeography of the pantropical sea urchin Eucidaris in relation to land barriers and ocean currents. *Evolution*, 53, 806–817. https://doi. org/10.2307/2640720
- Lessios, H. A., & Robertson, D. R. (2006). Crossing the impassable: Genetic connections in 20 reef fishes across the eastern Pacific barrier. Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 273, 2201–2208. https://doi.org/10. 1098/rspb.2006.3543
- Liggins, L., Treml, E. A., Possingham, H. P., & Riginos, C. (2016). Seascape features, rather than dispersal traits, predict spatial genetic patterns in co-distributed reef fishes. *Journal of Biogeography*, 43, 256–267. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12647
- Liu, S. Y. V., Dai, C. F., Allen, G. R., & Erdmann, M. V. (2012). Phylogeography of the neon damselfish *Pomacentrus coelestis* indicates a cryptic species and different species origins in the West Pacific Ocean. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 458, 155–167. https://doi.org/10.3354/ meps09648

- Lumpkin, R., & Johnson, G. C. (2013). Global ocean surface velocities from drifters: Mean, variance, El Niño-Southern Oscillation response and seasonal cycle. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 118, 2992–3006. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20210
- Mayorga-Adame, C. G., Batchelder, H. P., & Spitz, Y. H. (2017). Modeling larval connectivity of coral reef organisms in the Kenya-Tanzania region. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 92. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmars.2017.00092
- McCormick, I. M. (1999). Delayed metamorphosis of a tropical reef fish (Acanthurus triostegus): A field experiment. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 176, 25–38.
- McMillan, W. O., & Palumbi, S. R. (1995). Concordant evolutionary patterns among indo-West Pacific butterflyfishes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 260, 229–236. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1995.0085
- Mirams, A. G. K., Treml, E. A., Shields, J. L., Liggins, L., & Riginos, C. (2011). Vicariance and dispersal across an intermittent barrier: Population genetic structure of marine animals across the Torres Strait land bridge. *Coral Reefs*, 30, 937–949. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00338-011-0767-x
- Muths, D., Gouws, G., Mwale, M., Tessier, E., & Bourjea, J. (2012). Genetic connectivity of the reef fish *Lutjanus kasmira* at the scale of the western Indian Ocean. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 69, 842–853. https://doi.org/10.1139/f2012-012
- Nuryanto, A., & Kochzius, M. (2009). Highly restricted gene flow and deep evolutionary lineages in the giant clam *Tridacna maxima*. *Coral Reefs*, 28, 607–619. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-009-0483-y
- O'Donnell, J. L., Beldade, R., Mills, S. C., Williams, H. E., & Bernardi, G. (2017). Life history, larval dispersal and connectivity in coral reef fish among the Scattered Islands of the Mozambique Channel. *Coral Reefs*, 36, 223–232. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-016-1495-z
- Otwoma, L. M., & Kochzius, M. (2016). Genetic population structure of the coral reef sea star *Linckia laevigata* in the Western Indian Ocean and Indo-West Pacific. *PLoS One*, 11, e0165552. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0165552
- Otwoma, L. M., Reuter, H., Timm, J., & Meyer, A. (2018). Genetic connectivity in a herbivorous coral reef fish (*Acanthurus leucosternon* Bennet, 1833) in the eastern African region. *Hydrobiologia*, 806, 237–250. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-017-3363-4
- Planes, S. (1993). Genetic differentiation in relation to restricted larval dispersal of the convict surgeonfish Acanthurus triostegus in French Polynesia. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 98, 237–246.
- Planes, S., & Fauvelot, C. (2002). Isolation by distance and vicariance drive genetic structure of a coral reef fish in the Pacific Ocean. *Evolution*, 56, 378–399. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb01348.x
- Planes, S., Parroni, M., & Chauvet, C. (1998). Evidence of limited gene flow in three species of coral reef fishes in the lagoon of New Caledonia. *Marine Biology*, 130, 361–368. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227005 0256
- Posada, D. (2008). jModelTest: Phylogenetic model averaging. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 25, 1253–1256. https://doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/msn083
- Randall, J. E. (1956). A revision of the surgeon fish genus Acanthurus. *Pacific Science*, 10, 159–235.
- Reid, D. G., Lal, K., Mackenzie-Dodds, J., Kaligis, F., Littlewood, D. T. J., & Williams, S. T. (2006). Comparative phylogeography and species boundaries in *Echinolittorina* snails in the central Indo-West Pacific. *Journal of Biogeography*, 33, 990–1006. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1365-2699.2006.01469.x
- Schultz, L. P., & Woods, L. P. (1948). Acanthurus triostegus marquesensis, a new subspecies of surgeonfish, family Acanthuridae, with notes on related forms. Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences, 38, 248–251.
- Selkoe, K. A., Gaggiotti, O. E., ToBo, L., Bowen, B. W., & Toonen, R. J. (2014). Emergent patterns of population genetic structure for a coral reef community. *Molecular Ecology*, 23, 3064–3079. https://doi. org/10.1111/mec.12804
- Spalding, M. D., Fox, H. E., Allen, G. R., Davidson, N., Ferdaña, Z. A., Finlayson, M., ... Robertson, J. (2007). Marine ecoregions of the world: A bioregionalization of coastal and shelf areas. *Bioscience*, 57, 573–583. https://doi.org/10.1641/B570707

RNAL OF **FISH** BIOLOGY

- Stobutzki, I. C., & Bellwood, D. R. (1997). Sustained swimming abilities of the late pelagic stages of coral reef fishes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 149, 35–41. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps149035
- Streets, T. H. (Ed.) (1877). Ichthyology. In Contributions to the natural history of the Hawaiian and Fanning islands and Lower California, made in connection with the United States North Pacific surveying expedition, 1873–75. Bulletin of the United States National Museum 1 (pp. 43–102). Washington, DC: Department of the Interior.
- Sunnucks, P., & Hales, D. F. (1996). Numerous transposed sequences of mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I-II in aphids of the genus Sitobion (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Molecular Biology and Evolution, 13, 510–524. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a025612
- Swallow, J. C., Schott, F., & Fieux, M. (1991). Structure and transport of the east African coastal current. *Journal of Geophysical Research*: *Oceans*, 96, 22245–22257. https://doi.org/10.1029/91JC01942
- Timm, J., Figureiel, M., & Kochzius, M. (2008). Contrasting patterns in species boundaries and evolution of anemonefishes (Amphiprioninae, Pomacentridae) in the Centre of marine biodiversity. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 49, 268–276. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev. 2008.04.024
- Timm, J., & Kochzius, M. (2008). Geological history and oceanography of the Indo-Malay archipelago shape the genetic population structure in the false clown anemonefish (*Amphiprion ocellaris*). *Molecular Ecology*, 17, 3999–4014. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03881.x
- Villesen, P. (2007). FaBox: An online toolbox for fasta sequences. Molecular Ecology Notes, 7, 965–968. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286. 2007.01821.x
- Visram, S., Yang, M. C., Pillay, R. M., Said, S., Henriksson, O., Grahn, M., & Chen, C. A. (2010). Genetic connectivity and historical demography of the blue barred parrotfish (*Scarus ghobban*) in the western Indian Ocean. *Marine Biology*, 157, 1475–1487. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00227-010-1422-8
- Vogler, C., Benzie, J., Barber, P. H., Erdmann, M. V., Ambariyanto, A., Sheppard, C., ... Wörheide, G. (2012). Phylogeography of the

crown-of-thorns starfish in the Indian Ocean. *PLoS One*, 7, e43499. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043499

- Voris, H. K. (2000). Maps of Pleistocene Sea levels in Southeast Asia: Shorelines, river systems and time durations. *Journal of Biogeography*, 27, 1153–1167. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.2000.00489.x
- Weersing, K., & Toonen, R. J. (2009). Population genetics, larval dispersal and connectivity in marine systems. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 393, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08287
- Williams, S. T., & Benzie, J. A. H. (1998). Evidence of a biogeographic break between populations of a high dispersal starfish: Congruent regions within the indo-West Pacific defined by color morphs, mtDNA and allozyme data. *Evolution*, 52, 87–99. https://doi.org/10.2307/2410923
- Williams, S. T., Jara, J., Gomez, E., & Knowlton, N. (2002). The marine Indo-West Pacific break: Contrasting the resolving power of mitochondrial and nuclear genes. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 42, 941–952. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/42.5.941
- Wren, J. L. K., Kobayashi, D. R., Jia, Y., & Toonen, R. J. (2016). Modeled population connectivity across the Hawaiian archipelago. *PLoS One*, 11, e0167626. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.016762

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

How to cite this article: Otwoma LM, Diemel V, Reuter H, Kochzius M, Meyer A. Genetic population structure of the convict surgeonfish *Acanthurus triostegus*: a phylogeographic reassessment across its range. *J Fish Biol*. 2018;93:597–608. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.13686