FLUORIDE: GEOCHEMICAL AND ECOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE IN EAST AFRICAN WATERS AND SEDIMENTS¹

Peter Kilham² and Robert E. Hecky³ Department of Zoology, Duke University, Durham, N. C. 27706

ABSTRACT

The geochemistry of fluoride in East African lakes and rivers was examined to elucidate processes of fluoride acquisition, concentration, removal, and diagenesis in inland waters in a region relatively little influenced by man. The range of fluoride concentrations (0.02-1,627 mg liter⁻¹) is the greatest found anywhere. A strong correlation was found between the concentration of fluoride in the predominant crystalline rocks of each particular drainage basin and the F:Cl ratio in surface waters. Because fluoride-rich volcanic rocks are common in East Africa very high fluoride concentrations, the products of chemical weathering, are often observed. Fluoride removal from surface waters is not significant; concentration by evaporation proceeds until saturation with respect to villiaumite is reached. Fluoride : chloride ratios for the interstitial waters of a 7-m core from Small Momela Lake, Tanzania, indicate that in sedimentary environments fluoride may be removed from pore waters as fluorite and possibly fluorapatite.

Geographical evidence suggests that the high fluoride concentrations may influence the distribution of man, livestock, zooplankton, phytoplankton, and higher aquatic plants.

The geochemistry of fluoride in oceanic and estuarine environments has received increasing attention (Shiskina 1966; Carpenter 1969: Brewer et al. 1970: Warner 1969; Windom 1971). Fewer investigators have examined inland waters (Livingstone 1963: Mun et al. 1966: Reeder et al. 1972). Some favor rainwater of ultimate marine derivation as the primary source of fluoride in continental waters, while others view volcanic emanations with eventual washout by atmospheric precipitation as an important source. Rock weathering is generally considered less important. Attempts to resolve this controversy will be hampered in northern latitudes by industrial inputs (Mason 1966) which may overwhelm natural processes. Studies in equatorial and southern latitudes far removed from industrialized regions present the best opportunity for elucidating the preindustrial fluoride cycle and thereby estimating man's impact.

Fluoride in surface waters concerns public health officials as well as geochemists. Fluoride is extremely reactive in biological systems, and its affect on enzymes and whole organisms is well documented (O'Brien 1967; Smith 1966; Moore 1971: Hemens and Warwick 1972). Thus fluoride above trace levels could be a selection factor in determining the distribution of organisms. This aspect of fluoride biogcochemistry has received little attention because in most natural waters concentrations are below those recommended for human consumption (Livingstone 1963). However, fluoride may also be of interest to human ecologists in those areas where unusual concentrations are found.

The surface waters of East Africa have high fluoride concentrations and unusual anionic ratios (Baker 1958; Kilham 1971; Hecky 1971). East Africa is not industrialized and is far removed from significant causes of pollution. The region consists primarily of igeneous and metamorphic

¹This work was supported by National Science Foundation Grant GB-8328X to D. A. Livingstone and a National Science Foundation predoctoral fellowship to R. E. Hecky. While in Tanzania R. E. H. was a research associate of Dar-es-Salaam University College.

² Present address: Zoology Department, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor 48104.

³ Present address: Freshwater Institute, 501 University Crescent, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2N6.

rocks with only isolated, small patches of Pleistocene sediments. This geological simplicity facilitates understanding of the water chemistry (Kilham 1971) because there are no large, ancient, sediment deposits to contribute recycled salts. For these reasons, East Africa should be an ideal area to examine the geochemistry of fluoride in inland waters and to estimate its ecological importance. We have determined fluoride concentrations in over 200 water samples from 177 localities (Kilham 1971) and examined its fate during evaporative concentration and diagenesis of sediments in basins of internal drainage (Hecky 1971).

This study was made possible by the National Park Systems of Tanzania. Uganda, and Kenva. The Mineral Resources Division of Tanzania and the East African Freshwater Fisheries Research Organization under the direction of J. Okedi supplied field assistance. We thank S. S. Kilham, C. M. Hecky, D. Vesey-FitzGerald, T. J. Harvey, J. L. Richardson, and D. A. Livingstone for their help and support. The manuscript was critically read by D. A. Livingstone and F. T. Manheim. Unpublished data and manuscripts were supplied by P. E. Wilkness.

METHODS

Surface water samples were collected in East and Central Africa between May 1969 and January 1970 and shipped to Duke University for chloride and fluoride analyses. Fluoride was determined with a lanthanum fluoride electrode (Orion model 94–09) and reference electrode (model 90-01). Samples and standards were prepared to contain 50% TISAB (Orion total ionic strength adjustment buffer) to adjust the pH and uncomplex the fluoride; this avoids anionic interferences (Orion Research 1967; Harwood 1969). Cationic interferences would not occur in these waters (see Warner 1971; Kilham 1971). All readings were made to 0.1 mV on a specific ion meter (Orion 404). Fluoride activity was measured directly in untreated water samples. The agreement

between measured and calculated coefficients (Davies 1962) was within ca. 5%, indicating that complexing is not significant. Declstra (1971) reported fluoride concentrations within ca. 5% of ours in samples collected a year later from waters investigated in common. A Cotlove chloridometer (Lab. Glass and Instr. Co.) was used for concentrations of chloride >2 meq liter⁻¹ and a mercuric nitrate titration (Amer. Public Health Ass. 1965) was used for lower concentrations.

Interstitial waters from cores were extracted with a gas-operated sediment squeezer similar to one described by Reeburgh (1967). The samples were passed through 0.45- μ m membrane filters. Fluoride activities were measured as described above. Chloride concentrations were determined with the chloridometer.

When possible pH, alkalinity, calcium, chloride, magnesium, phosphate, and silica were measured in the field with a portable water laboratory (Hach model DR-EL). Unfiltered water was analyzed for conductivity, sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, sulfate, chloride, alkalinity, pH, silicon, and fluoride at Duke University within 3 to 6 months of collection on samples shipped from Africa in polyethylene bottles. Agreement between laboratory and field data were very good for the major ions; only laboratory values are given here unless otherwise noted.

Chemical techniques were selected for precision and availability. Sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, and silicon were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Perkin-Elmer 303: methods described in Perkin-Elmer 1964). Sodium, potassium, calcium, and magnesium were analyzed in an air-acctylene flame; silicon and sometimes calcium were done in a nitrous oxide-acetylene flame to preclude the formation of refractory compounds (Slavin 1968). When necessary, calcium and magnesium were determined by EDTA titration (Amer. Public Health Ass. 1965). Total alkalinity was determined by titration to the Bromcresol Green-Methyl Red end point (Amer. Public Health Ass. 1965).

ss are indi- nt denotes	the cations
ch generic clc meq liter ⁻¹	e the sum of
entative of ea $+ CO_s^{s-}$) in	+ and S- ar
sidered repres (A, HCO ^{,-}	n the field. S
l. Waters con ept alkalinity	¹ were done i er-1
vally discussed mg liter ⁻¹ exc	<pre>< 10 mg liter- ns in meq lite</pre>
vaters specific analyses in t	te analyses < and anio
c; all other	ed. Phospha
he East Africon 20 s cm ⁻¹ at 20	not determin
analyses of t (C) in µmhc	letectable or
. Chemical Conductivity	ence; – not c
Table] cated.	interfer

	υ	Na	×	Ca	Mg	S0 ₄	C1	A	<u>н</u> н	Si02	PO4	S+	<u>ې</u>	Date	Location
Common Kagera* Katoro Victoria Nile Kioga	86 61 212 212	7.1 4.6 10.2 27.5	3.4 3.5 5.5	5.0 5.2 7.0 13.7	3.9 7.2 7.2	5.1 2.9 4.7	3.7 1.9 7.1	0.79 0.64 0.95 2.31	0.32 0.02 0.38 0.47	17.1 6.4 11.8 30	0.1 0.25 -	1.0 0.7 1.1	1.0 0.8 2.6	19 Oct 69 19 Oct 69 25 Aug 69 10 Oct 69	0°57'S,31°47'E 2°21'N,31°36'E 1°40'N,33°27'E
Sodium bicarbo Nanyuki*† Small Momela [†] Reshitani† Tulusia [†] Bisina	nate (10w 1,758 4,720 13,500 14,390 14,390 208	chloride 460 1,230 4,200 4,600 24.2) 84 588 588 726 6.7 12.3	7.6 0.9 3.8 3.2 3.2 16.2	2.1 3.4 5.3 12.1 12.1	130 285 300 4.7 4.7 5.2	91 117 646 2.3 6.9	15.6 47.7 47.7 164 3.1 3.1	28.5 28.5 361 437 6.65 0.95	3] 33 54.6 24.6 24.6	2.4 int int 0.01	22.7 582.5 198.2 219.3 3.3 2.6	22.4 61.7 201.2 3.3 3.3	16 Jun 69 26 May 69 25 May 69 1 Jun 69 9 Oct 69 11 Jul 69	3°15'5,36°47'E 3°14'5,36°54'E 3°14'5,36°54'E 3°14'5,36°54'E 3°12'5,36°54'E 1°38'N,33°56'E 0°46'5,36°21'E
Oloidien Kariandusi Elmenteita Magadi	628 251 11,700 69,960	142 44.8 3.800 32,200	15.5 10.7 284 525	9.4 0.0 0.0	5.8 0.0 0.0	23.8 25.4 133 403	23 3.1 1,970 16,200	6.7 2.2 107 963	5.41 7.13 323 437	42.8 74.9 177 143	int - 9.2 14	7.5 2.8 172.6 1,414	7.9 2.8 182.3 1,451	11 Ju1 69 13 Sep 69 23 Ju1 69 Nov 69	0°48'S, 36°17'E 0°27'S, 36°16'E 0°27'S, 36°15'E 1°50'S, 36°16'E
Sodium bicarbo Gidaburk*	nate (hig 11,120	h.chlorid 3,700	e) 174	8.7	80	1,500	1,970	87.4	9.31	11.8	19	172	175	22 Jul 69	4°23'S,35°05'E
Sodium-potassi Saka*	um-magnes 535	ium bicarl 30	bonate 16	(high 1 54	fluorid 33.4	le) 11.8	1.9	7.04	1.52	30	0.1	7.2	7.3	3 Nov 69	0°42'N,30°14'E
Sodium-potassi Kivu* Tanganyika	um-magnes 1,110 649	ium bicarl 120 63	bonate 68 32	(low f 5.9 10.4	luoride 87 40	() 32 13.9	28.5 27.0	13.15 6.67	1.9 1.06	12.8 -	I 1	14.4 7.4	14.6 7.7	25 Sep 69 11 Aug 69	2°12'S,29°16'E 8°46'S,31°10'E
Sodium chlorid Singida*	e 5,740	1,240	10	56.4	68.8	140	1,890	5.66	3.61	21.4	1.9	62.7	62.]	17 May 69	4°47'S,34°45'E
Calcium-magnes Mahoma*	ium bicar 17	bonate 0.5	0.3	0.7	0.1	4.2	1.0	I	0.04	2.1	ı	1	J	18 Jul 60	0°21'N,30°00'E
Unusual spring Shantanda	s 1,980	394	15	59	0.6	613	209	۱/.۱	ı	50	1	20.5	20.4	21 Apr 65	1

* Analyses considered representative of the class. † Momela lakes.

934

P. KILHAM AND R. E. HECKY

Talling and Talling (1965) consider the contribution of hydroxide, silicate, phosphate, and borate to total alkalinity to be negligible and refer to total alkalinity as $HCO_3^- + CO_3^{2-}$. We have followed this convention. Sulfate was determined turbidimetrically in the field using Hach reagents (Sulfaver III, Hach Chemical Co.) and in the laboratory using an ion exchange method (Mackereth 1963). The different methods for calcium, magnesium, and sulfate agreed within 5% for those samples treated by two methods. Conductivity was measured on a Philips PR9501 meter.

GEOCHEMISTRY OF AFRICAN WATERS

The distribution and abundance of fluoride in the waters of East and Central Africa are best understood by examining their general geochemistry. The main features of water chemistry in intertropical Africa can be explained by the chemical weathering of crystalline rocks and, in closed basin lakes, by subsequent evaporative concentration (Kilham 1971), A genetic classification based on this observation has been proposed and six geochemically distinct water types discriminated: common, sodium bicarbonate, sodium-potassium-magnesium bicarbonate. calcium-magnesium bicarbonate, sodium chloride, and unusual springs (Table 1). Waters of the sodium bicarbonate, sodiumpotassium-magnesium bicarbonate, and calcium-magnesium bicarbonate types are geochemically determined by the solution of identifiable crystalline rocks. The sodium bicarbonate type can be further divided into low and high chloride subtypes (Hecky and Kilham 1973): the first is generated under humid climates where acquisition of solutes by weathering processes masks the chemical composition of the rain, the second is found in drier areas where chemical weathering is less intense. The high chloride subtype grades into the sodium chloride waters that develop in arid regions where chemical weathering is not pronounced. These waters probably reflect the chemical composition of the rain (Kilham 1971). Waters of the common type

develop through interaction of rainwater and clay minerals on the deeply weathered planation surfaces of Africa and are similar in origin and composition to the many tributarics of the Amazon River (Gibbs 1970). Waters classified as unusual springs are those whose solutes have presumably been acquired from evaporites, particularly soluble metamorphic rocks, or both. Table 1 also gives the chemical compositions of all the lakes and rivers specifically referred to here.

The relative volumetric importance of specific water types is not known. Waters of the common type are most prevalent in the drainage basin of the Victoria Nile. The great lakes of the western Rift Valley— Albert, Edward, Kivu, and Tanganyika belong to the sodium-potassium-magnesium bicarbonate type.⁴ Waters of the sodium bicarbonate and sodium chloride types are primarily found in basins of internal drainage and presumably are not influenced by waters of other types. The unusual springs type and the calcium-magnesium bicarbonate type are rare.

RESULTS

We present our data as F:Cl ratios because these ratios can separate rock-derived fluoride from atmosphere-derived fluoride. This is possible if we assume chloride to be completely conservative and assume further that F:Cl ratios of >0.10 (by meq) are indicative of rock weathering. The ratio 0.10 has been chosen because it is close to the maximum ratios reported for atmospheric precipitation in industrial countries (*see* Carpenter 1969); there are no reported fluoride analyses for African rainwater. Table 2 shows mean F:Cl ratios for particular water types from 177 localities.

The mean F:Cl ratios given in Table 2 for each water type would presumably be much higher if the volcanic rocks in East Africa were impoverished in chloride.

⁴Lakes Albert and Edward have recently been renamed Lakes Mobutu Sese Seko and Idi Amin Dada, respectively.

G	S	M F:Cl (meq liter ⁻¹)	R	σ	N	W	F:Cl (meq liter ⁻¹)
C (Clays and rainwater)		0.11	0.01-0.38	0.075	56	Kagera	0.16
Na-HCO ₃ -CO ₃	Total	0.54	0.00-5.39	0.86	85		
(Na-rich alkalic rocks)	Low Cl (High F)	0.63	0.01-5.39	0.90	72	Nanyuki	0.58
	High Cl (Low F)	0.022	0.00-0.06	0.018	13	Gidaburk	0.01
Na-K-Mg HCO₃	Total	0.42	0.00-3.12	0.65	34		
(K-rich	High F	1.26	0.61-3.12	0.79	9	Saka	1.49
alkalic rucks)	Low F	0.11	0.00-0.53	0.13	25	Kivu	0.12
NaCl (Precipitation only)		0.005	0.00-0.02	0.01	4	Singida	0.00
Ca-Mg HCO₃ (Metamorphic rocks)		0.09	0.07-0.11	0.028	2	Mahoma	0.07
U (Sedimentary and metamorphic rocks)	No data	-	-		Shantanda	-

Table 2. Mean F:Cl ratios (M) for genetic classes (G) of East African waters. Subtypes (S), ranges (R), standard deviations (σ), and number of surface waters from different localities averaged (N). The F:Cl ratio of the surface water considered representative of the class (W) is given. C—common; U—unusual springs

Chloride analyses are available for 21 of the rocks for which fluoride analyses are summarized in Table 3. The data show that these rocks contain between 0.01 and 0.41% chloride (mean = $0.13 \pm 0.12\%$, n= 21). In spite of their high chloride contents the F:Cl ratios of the rocks are high (mean 5.60 ± 7.5 , n = 21). The high chloride contents of these rocks strengthen our assumption that F:Cl ratios > 0.10 in surface waters are indicative of rock weathering.

Common

Waters of common type are found throughout the nonvolcanic regions of Africa. These waters have F:Cl ratios from 0.01 to 0.38. Fluoride in these waters may come from atmospheric precipitation if the ratio for rainwater is as high as 0.10; if it is actually lower most of the fluoride may be derived from the weathering of various clay minerals rather than of rock. The lowest fluoride concentration observed was for Katoro Stream in West Lake District, Tanzania. Katoro Stream drains a region of Precambrian metamorphic rocks overlain by a thick mantle of well-leached lateritic clay.

Sodium bicarbonate

Sodium bicarbonate waters can be divided into high and low chloride subtypes as discussed above. Waters of the high chloride subtypes are impoverished in fluoride, and waters of the low chloride subtype are rich in fluoride. These subtypes may directly reflect the relative importance of rainwater-derived chloride in the high chloride subtype or the chemical composition of the predominant volcanic rocks in the drainage basin in waters of the low chloride subtype (*see* Table 2).

In the eastern Rift Valley extreme F:Cl ratios (0.4–1.76) characterize the sodium bicarbonate waters draining the caldera of Mount Meru (Kilima Ujamaa), Tanzania, which contains a cinder cone of fluoride-



Fig. 1. The mean F:Cl ratios (meq liter⁻¹) for surface waters in particular volcanic fields are given by the large numerals within circles. The shaded portion of each circle indicates the fluorine concentration of the rocks.

rich nephelinitic rocks (0.37% fluoride, Table 3). High F:Cl ratios are also observed for the sodium bicarbonate waters which leach fluoride-rich volcanic rocks in central Kenya. The highest fluoride value ever reported for a surface water is for Lake Elmenteita (1,627 mg liter⁻¹: Baker 1958) at a time when the lake was far more concentrated than when we visited it (Table 1). Lake Elmenteita is fed in part by the Kariandusi River (fluoride = 7.13 mg liter⁻¹) which drains fluoride-rich rocks in central Kenya.

Lake Bisina (formerly Lake Salisbury) has perhaps the most anomalous fluoride concentration of all the lakes we studied because it has a high F:Cl ratio in a region where the other lakes have low ratios. The high fluoride concentration in Lake Bisina presumably reflects the fluoride-rich volcanic rocks in its drainage basin. Although Lake Bisina is connected through papyrus swamps with Lake Kioga, it receives most of its water from the slopes of Mount Elgon, a large nephelinitic Miocene volcano on the Kenya-Uganda border. Unfortunately few data exist for the fluoride content of the predominant volcanic rocks of Mount Elgon. If our hypothesis is correct, these rocks will be relatively fluoride rich.

The surface waters of both Mount Kilimanjaro and Ngorongoro Crater (Tanzania) have generally lower F:Cl ratios. These waters drain volcanic rocks with considerably less fluoride than those found on Mount Meru or in the Rift Valley of central Kenya (Table 3), supporting the hypothesis that the chemistry of these waters is controlled essentially by the chemical weathering of crystalline rocks in humid drainage basins. Table 3. Compilation of fluoride analyses of rocks from volcanic regions of East Africa. All analyses in % F. Country and volcanic region (C), number of samples (N), range (R), mean (M), analysis location (A) in referenced papers, and main rock types (T) are given. References (R No.): 1— Gerasimovskiy and Savinova (1969); 2—Bowen (1937); 3—MacDonald et al. (1970); 4—von Knorring and DuBois (1961); 5—Holmes and Harwood (1932); 6—Higazy (1954); 7—Bell and Powell (1969)

C N R M R A T Tanzania Meru, foot 1 - 0.15 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Nephelinites Meru, foot 1 - 0.15 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Nephelinites Meru, mean 6 0.21-0.37 0.266 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Phonolites Meru mean 6 0.21-0.37 0.266 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Phonolites Kilimanjaro 1 - 0.13 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Phonolites Kilimanjaro 3 0.048-0.14 0.062 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Picrites Ngorongoro - 0.076-0.18 0.165 1 Table 1, p. 1126 Olivine basalts Ngorongoro - 0.076-0.14 0.096 mean fable 1, p. 1126 Olivine basalts Ngorongoro - 0.17-0.68 0.307 3 Table 1, p. 126 Olivine basalts Ngorongoro - 0.17-0.68 0.							
Tanzania Meru, Toot 1 Construction Construction Construction Meru, peak 3 0.23-0.37 0.32 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Nephelinites Meru mean 6 0.21-0.22 0.215 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Nephelinites Meru mean 6 0.21-0.37 0.286 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Nephelinites Kilimanjaro 1 - 0.13 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Picrites Kilimanjaro 3 0.048-0.14 0.082 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Picrites Kilimanjaro 2 0.15 - 0.18 0.165 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Picrites Mgorongoro 1 - 0.44 1 Table 1, p. 1126 Tirchtes Mgorongoro 2 0.076-0.14 0.096 mean fable 1, p. 1126 Tirchtes Menengai 4 0.17-0.68 0.387 2 Table 1, p. 14 Glass peralkaline Kanya A 0.17-0.68 0.387 2 Table 1, p. 53 Biotite pyroxenite Menengai 4<	С	N	R	М	R no.	A	Т
Meru, foot 1 - 0.15 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Nephelinites Meru, peak 3 0.23-0.37 0.32 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Nephelinites Meru 2 0.21-0.37 0.280 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Nephelinites Meru mean 6 0.21-0.37 0.280 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Nephelinites Kilimanjaro 1 - 0.13 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Nephelinites Kilimanjaro 2 0.048-0.12 0.10 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Picrites Picrites Kilimanjaro 4 0.076-0.12 0.10 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Picrites Olivine basalts Ngorongoro 1 - 0.076 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Picrites Olivine basalts Mgorongoro 1 - 0.17 0.18 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Picrites Megorongoro 4 0.17-0.36 0.307 3 Table 1, p. 511 Gasapela <t< td=""><td>Tanzania</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Tanzania						
Meru, peak 3 0.23-0.37 0.12 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Nephe Inites Meru 2 0.21-0.22 0.215 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Nephe Inites Meru 2 0.21-0.22 0.215 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Nephe Inites Kilimanjaro 1 - 0.13 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Picrites Kilimanjaro 3 0.048-0.14 0.082 1 Table 1, p. 1125 Picrites Kilimanjaro 4 0.078-0.18 0.165 1 Table 1, p. 1126 Picrites Ngorongoro 1 - 0.148 1 Table 1, p. 1126 Olivine basalts Ngorongoro 1 - 0.165 1 Table 1, p. 14 Olivine basalts Ngorongoro - 0.076-0.14 0.096 mean dite 0.53413 Glass peralkaline Menengai 4 0.17-0.68 0.387 2 Table 1, p. 14 Ryolite & Commendite obsidian Maivasha area 4 0.17-0	Meru, foot	٦	_	0 15	7	Table 1 p 1195	Naubaldudtaa
Meru France Construction Meru	Meru, peak	3	0.23-0.37	0.10	1	Table 1, p , 1125	Nephelinites
Wend mean KilimanjaroCollocitiesCollocitiesCollocitiesCollocitiesCollocitiesCollocitiesKilimanjaro0.048-0.140.0821Table 1, p. 1125PicritesNephelinitesKilimanjaro0.078-0.120.011Table 1, p. 1125PicritesPicritesKilimanjaro2.0.15-0.180.1651Table 1, p. 1126Rhomben-porphyryNgorongoro2.0.076-0.140.0851Table 1, p. 1126Rhomben-porphyryMgorongoro1-0.0761Table 1, p. 1126Rhomben-porphyryMgorongoro1-0.0761Table 1, p. 1126Rhomben-porphyryMgorongoro10.076-0.140.0961Table 1, p. 1126Rhomben-porphyryMarvasha area40.17-0.680.3872Table 1, p. 1126Commen- dite obsidianMenengai40.17-0.360.3073Table 1, p. 126Commen- dite obsidianMenengai40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 1065Vesicular carbona- 	Meru	2	0.21_0.22	0.32	7	Table 1, p. 1125	Representation
Kilimanjaro10.131Table 1, p. 1125NephelinitesKilimanjaro0.048-0.140.0821Table 1, p. 1125Picrite basaltsKilimanjaro2.015-0.180.1651Table 1, p. 1126Olivine basaltsKilimanjaro2.015-0.180.1651Table 1, p. 1126Olivine basaltsNgorongoro1-0.0761Table 1, p. 1126Olivine basaltsNgorongoro20.076-0.140.096Table 1, p. 1126TrachyandesiteNgorongoro40.076-0.140.096Table 1, p. 1126TrachyandesiteMenengai40.17-0.680.3073Table 1, p. 511Glass peralkaline trachytesKatwe Field70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 53Biotite pyroxenite samples 0 F, samples 19Biotite peridotite maruriteBunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite maruriteBunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite maruriteBunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.	Meru mean	6	0.21-0.22	0.213	1	Table 1, p. 1125	Phonolites
Kilimanjaroi-0.131Table 1, p. 1125PipterittesKilimanjaro30.048-0.140.0021Table 1, p. 1125Picrite basaltsKilimanjaro20.15 - 0.180.1651Table 1, p. 1126Picrite basaltsKilimanjaro20.15 - 0.180.1651Table 1, p. 1126Picrite basaltsNgorongoro20.078-0.0910.0851Table 1, p. 1126PicritesNgorongoro20.076-0.140.0961Table 1, p. 1126PicritesMenengai40.17-0.680.3872Table 1, p. 1126TrachyandesiteMenengai40.17-0.360.3073Table 1, p. 126Glass peralkaline trachytesMenengai40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 1065Vesicular carbona- titic lavaUganda Fort Portal70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 53 samples 0.5,Biotite pyroxenite samples 0.5,Mean70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53 samples 0.5,Biotite pyroxenite samples 0.5,Bunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.127Table 1, p. 53 samples 0.5,Biotite peridotite samples 0.5,Birunga130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53 samples 2, p. 57Biotite peridotite samples 4, p. 60Mairuite, Leucite mairuiteBirunga130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53 samples 8, FBiotite peridotite, samples 8, F </td <td>Kilimaniaro</td> <td>ĭ</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.200</td> <td>1</td> <td>Table 1 p 1125</td> <td>Nanhalinitaa</td>	Kilimaniaro	ĭ	-	0.200	1	Table 1 p 1125	Nanhalinitaa
Kilimanjaro30.048-0.140.0821Table 1, p. 1125Picrite basaltsKilimanjaro40.078-0.120.101Table 1, p. 1125Picrite basaltsKilimanjaro20.15-0.180.1651Table 1, p. 1126Oilvine basaltsMgorongoro1-0.0761Table 1, p. 1126Oilvine basaltsMgorongoro1-0.141Table 1, p. 1126Oilvine basaltsMgorongoro1-0.141Table 1, p. 1126Oilvine basaltsMgorongoro40.076-0.140.096Table 1, p. 1126Oilvine basaltsMenengai40.17-0.680.3872Table 1, p. 14Rhyolite & CommendationMenengai40.17-0.360.3073Table 1, p. 14Samples 6-9dite obsidianMaivasha area40.17-0.360.3073Table 1, p. 14Samples 6-9dite obsidianMenengai40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 1065Vesicular carbona- titic 1avaUganda Fort Portal70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 381 sample 1Sample 1Sample 170.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53Biotite pyroxenite sample ABunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 3, p. 59Leucite ankaratrite sample ABunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite sample A	Kilimanjaro	i	_	0.13	Ť	Table 1, p , 1125	Diovition
Kilimanjaro40.078-0.120.1011Table 1, p. 1125Filte BuiltsKilimanjaro20.15 -0.180.1651Table 1, p. 1125Filte BusaltsNgorongoro20.078-0.0910.0851Table 1, p. 1126Olivine basaltsNgorongoro20.076-0.140.096Table 1, p. 1126Olivine basaltsNgorongoro40.076-0.140.096Table 1, p. 1126Olivine basaltsMenengai40.17-0.680.3872Table 1, p. 14Rhyolite & Commen- asamples 6-9Menengai40.17-0.360.3073Table 1, p. 13Glass peralkaline trachytesWgandaFort Portal40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 381 sample 1Table 1, p. 381 sample 1UgandaFort Portal40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 420Melilite-leucite sample 1Katwe Field70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 420Melilite-leucite sample 1Katwe Field70.08-0.180.126Table 2, p. 57Biotite pyroxenite sample 3, p. 549Bunyaruguru70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite sample 4Bunyaruguru70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite sample ABirunga130.00-0.150.06Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite sample 29.30Biotite peridotite, Asaro- sample 29.30Biotite peridotite, Asaro- sam	Kilimaniaro	3	0.048-0.14	0 082	i	Table 1, p. 1125	Picrites Dignite bagalte
Kilimanjaro Ngorongoro Ngorongoro 1 	Kilimaniaro	4	0.078-0.12	0 10	i	Table 1, p. 1125	Oliving basalts
Ngorongoro10.071Table 1, p. 1125PicritesNgorongoro20.076-0.0910.0851Table 1, p. 1126Divine basaltsNgorongoro40.076-0.140.096Table 1, p. 1126TrachyandesiteMenongai40.17-0.680.3872Table 1, p. 1126TrachyandesiteMenengai40.17-0.680.3073Table 1, p. 511Glass peralkaline trachytesUganda Fort Portal40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 1065Vesicular carbona- titic lavaUganda Field70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 53 samples 4.8,JBiotite pyroxenite sample 1Katwe Field70.02-0.270.116Table 2, p. 57 samples A.B,JBiotite pyroxenite samples A.B,JBunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53 samples A.B,JBiotite peridotite samples A.B,JBirunga mean130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53 samples 29.30Biotite peridotite samples A.B, for the curve parallelBirunga mean130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53 samples A-GBiotite peridotite, katungite, curve cu	Kilimanjaro	ż	0.15 -0.18	0.165	í	Table 1, p. 1120	Phombon popphyny
Ngorongoro Mgorongoro mean20.078-0.0910.085 0.141 Table 1, p. 1126The fuelow off vine basaltsNgorongoro mean40.076-0.140.096Menengai40.17-0.680.3872Table 1, p. 1126TrachyandesiteMenengai40.17-0.360.3073Table 1, p. 1126TrachyandesiteWenengai40.17-0.360.3073Table 1, p. 14Blass peralkaline trachytesUganda Fort Portal40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 1065Vesicular carbona- titic lavaUganda Field70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 420Melilite-leucite samples D.F. 6Katwe Field mean70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 53Biotite pyroxenite samples A, B, JBunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 3, p. 59Leucite ankaratrite sample ABunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 3, p. 549Leucite ankaratrite samples C, D, F, IBirunga mean130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite samples A-GBirunga mean130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite, samples A-GBirunga mean130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite, samples A-GCite trachybasalt7Table 3, p. 549, samples A-GKatugite, Olivine- rich uganditeB	Naorongoro	7	-	0 076	i	Table 1, p. 1120	Picnitec
Ngorongoro Mgorongoro mean11Table 1, p. 1126TrachyandesiteKenya Naivasha area40.17-0.680.3872Table 1, p. 1126TrachyandesiteMenengai40.17-0.680.3872Table 1, p. 14Rhyolite & Commen- dite obsidianMenengai40.17-0.680.3073Table 1, p. 511Glass peralkaline trachytesUganda Fort Portal Field40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 1065Vesicular carbona- titic lavaKatwe Field70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 420Melilite-leucite sample 1Katwe Field70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 53 samples A,B,JBiotite pyroxenite sample ABunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53 samples 2, p. 57 table 1, p. 549 sample 19Biotite peridotite sample 19Birunga mean130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53 samples 2, p. 57 samples 2, p. 57 samples 2, p. 58 samples 2, p. 516 samples 2, p. 570 samples 2, p. 516 samples 2, p. 517 samples 2, p. 518 Biotite peridotite samples 2, p. 518 samples 2, p. 518 samples 2, p. 519 samples 2, p. 519 Biotite peridotite samples 2, p. 510 samples 2, p. 510 Biotite peridotite samples 2, p. 510 Biotite peridotite samples 2, p. 510 Samples 2, p. 510 Samples 2, p. 510 Biotite peridotite samples 2, p. 510 Biotite peridotite Samples 2, p. 549, Biotite peridotite Samples 2, p. 549,<	Ngorongoro	2	0.078-0.091	0.085	i	Table 1 p 1126	Olivino bacalto
Ngorongoro mean Kenya Naivasha area 4 0.17-0.68 0.387 2 Menengai 4 0.17-0.36 0.307 3 Table 1, p. 14 Menengai 4 0.17-0.36 0.307 3 Table 1, p. 1065 Vesicular carbona- titic lava 5,6,6a,11 Uganda Fort Portal 4 0.14-0.30 0.25 4 Field 7 0.02-0.27 0.11 6 Katwe Field 7 0.02-0.27 0.11 6 Katwe Field 7 0.02-0.27 0.11 6 Bunyaruguru 7 0.08-0.18 0.12 6 Birunga 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 7 Birunga 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 6 Birunga 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 7 Birunga 13 0.00-0.15 0.00 7 Birunga 13 0.00-0.15 0.00 7 Birunga 13 0.00-0.15 0.00 7 Birung	Ngorongoro	ī	-	0.14	i	Table 1, p. 1120	Trachyandocito
meanKenyaNaivasha area40.17-0.580.3872Table 1, p. 14Rhyolite & Commendit e obsidianMenengai40.17-0.360.3073Table 1, p. 511Glass peralkalineUgandaFort Portal40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 1065Vesicular carbona-titic lavaField70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 420Melilite-leuciteKatwe Field70.02-0.270.116Table 2, p. 57Biotite pyroxenitemean70.08-0.180.126Table 3, p. 549Leucite ankaratriteBunyaruguru70.08-0.180.126Table 2, p. 57Katungite, Olivine-uachititeBirunga130.00-0.150.066Table 2, p. 57Katungite, Olivine-samples 29,30mafuriteBirunga130.00-0.150.066Table 3, p. 549Siotite peridotite, samples A-GLinburgite, Leucite7Table 3, p. 549Siotite peridotite, Samples A-GSiotite peridotite, Samples A-GSiotite peridotite, Samples A-G8130.00-0.150.066Table 3, p. 550Mafurite, Leucite ankaratrite7Table 3, p. 549Siotite peridotite, Samples A-GSiotite peridotite, Samples A-G117Table 3, p. 549Siotite peridotite, Samples A-G127Table 3, p. 549Siotite peridotite, Samples A-G13130.00-0.150.0661415Samples A-G<	Ngorongoro	4	0.076-0.14	0.096	•	luble 1, p. 1120	Trachyandes i te
KenyaNaivasha area40.17-0.680.3872Table 1, p. 14Rhyolite & Commen- dite obsidianMenengai40.17-0.360.3073Table 1, p. 511 samples 43/1/SRhyolite & Commen- dite obsidianUganda Fort Portal40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 1065Vesicular carbona- titic lavaField5Table 1, p. 420 sample 1Melilite-leucite sample 1Melilite-leucite sample 18Katwe Field70.02-0.270.116Table 2, p. 57 samples A,B,JBiotite pyroxenite sample AMuyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 2, p. 57 sample ABiotite peridotite sample ABunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 2, p. 57 sample ABiotite peridotite sample ABirunga mean130.00-0.150.066Table 2, p. 50 samples C,D,E,I samples S,FBiotite peridotite, samples A,B,JBirunga130.00-0.150.066Table 3, p. 500 samples A,FBiotite peridotite, samples A,FBirunga130.00-0.150.066Table 4, p. 60 samples A,FBiotite peridotite, samples A,FBirunga130.00-0.150.066Table 4, p. 60 samples A,FBiotite pyroxenite, Leu- cite trachybasalt, L42,45,48,50Kentallenite	mean	•		0.000			
Naivasha area40.17-0.680.3872Table 1, p. 14 samples 6-9 Table 1, p. 51 able 1, p. 51 samples 43/1/S 5,6,6a,11Rhyolite & Commen- dite obsidian Glass peralkaline trachytesUganda Fort Portal Field40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 1065Vesicular carbona- titic lavaKatwe Field mean70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 420 sample 1Melilite-leucite samples A,B,JBunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53 sample 3, p. 59 sample 3, p. 59Biotite peridotite sample 4Bunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53 sample 2, p. 57 table 3, p. 59Biotite peridotite sample 4Bunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53 samples C,D,E,I Table 3, p. 550 samples C,D,E,I Table 3, p. 550 samples S,FBiotite peridotite, samples A,B, 50Biotite peridotite, samples A,B, 50Birunga mean130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 6 Table 3, p. 549, S1, samples A,E, 50Biotite peridotite, samples A,E, 50	Kenva						
Menengai40.17-0.360.3073Samples 6-9 Table 1, p. 511 samples 4.7.5dite obsidian dite obsidian Glass peralkaline trachytesUganda Fort Portal40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 1065Vesicular carbona- titic lavaFort Portal Field40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 1065Vesicular carbona- titic lavaKatwe Field mean70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 53 samples D.F, 6Biotite pyroxenite sample ABunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 3, p. 549 sample ALeucite ankaratrite sample ABunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 2, p. 57 sample ABiotite peridotite meanBunyaruguru mean70.00-0.150.066Table 2, p. 57 samples C.D,F,IBiotite peridotite mafuriteBirunga mean130.00-0.150.066Table 3, p. 549 samples 29,30Biotite peridotite, mafuriteBirunga mean130.00-0.150.066Table 3, p. 550 samples A-GBiotite peridotite, samples A-GBirunga mean130.00-0.150.066Table 3, p. 549, samples 29,30Biotite peridotite, mafuriteBirunga mean130.00-0.150.066Table 3, p. 550, samples A-GBiotite peridotite, samples A-GBirunga mean130.00-0.150.066Table 4, p. 60, samples A-GBiotite peridotite, kite, B	Naivasha area	4	0.17-0.68	0.387	2	Table L. p. 14	Rhvolite & Commen-
Menengai40.17-0.360.3073Just and the origination of the originatio					-	samples 6-9	dite obsidian
Uganda Fort Portal40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 1065Vesicular carbona- titic lavaField5Table 1, p. 1065Vesicular carbona- titic lavaSample 15Table 1, p. 381 sample 15Table 11, p. 420Melilite-leuciteKatwe Field70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 53Biotite pyroxenitesamples 0 F, mean6Table 3, p. 57Biotite, Katungite, samples A,B,J7Table 3, p. 59Leucite ankaratrite sample 198Sample 4Table 2, p. 57Biotite peridotite sample 198Fable 2, p. 57Biotite peridotite sample 198Fable 2, p. 57Biotite peridotite sample 199Birunga130.00-0.150.069Birunga130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite pyroxenite samples C,D,E,I rich ugandite7Table 3, p. 590Mafurite, Leucite samples 29,308Fired 4, p. 60Kivite, Melilite, samples A-G Linburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt7Table 3, p. 549, samples A-GBiotite pyroxenite Biotite pyroxenite7Table 3, p. 549, samples A-GCite trachybasalt7Table 3, p. 549, samples A-G Linburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt7Table 3, p. 549, samples A-GKatungite, Absaro- kite, Banakite, Kentallenite	Menengai	4	0.17-0.36	0.307	3	Table 1, n 511	Glass neralkaline
Uganda Fort Portal 4 0.14-0.30 0.25 4 Table 1, p. 1065 Vesicular carbona- titic lava 5 Table 1, p. 381 sample 1 5 Table 11, p. 420 Melilite-leucite sample 1 5 Table 11, p. 420 Melilite-leucite sample 2 D.F. 6 Table 2, p. 57 Biotite, Katungite, 5 samples A,B,J Olivine ouachitite 6 Table 3, p. 59 Leucite ankaratrite 5 sample A 7 Table 3, p. 549 Leucite ankaratrite 5 sample 2, p. 57 Biotite peridotite 5 sample 1 8 Unyaruguru 7 0.08-0.18 0.12 8 Divine analite 8 Divine 3 D.12 9 Birunga 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 9 Birunga 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 9 Table 3, p. 549 Biotite peridotite, 5 samples C,D,E,I 7 Table 3, p. 550 Mafurite, Leucite 8 Samples B,F Biotite peridotite, 5 Samples A,B, 0 10 June 0 June					·	samples $43/1/5$	trachytes
UgandaFort Portal Field40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 1065Vesicular carbona- titic lavaField5Table 1, p. 381 sample 15Table 1, p. 420Melilite-leucite sample 1Katwe Field mean70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 53Biotite pyroxenite samples D_F,6Table 2, p. 57Biotite, Katungite, samples A,B,J01ivine ouachitite sample A7Table 3, p. 59Leucite ankaratrite sample A8Bunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.127Table 2, p. 57Biotite peridotite sample A8Birunga mean130.00-0.150.068Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite, samples C,D,F,IMafurite, Leucite rich ugandite manufite8130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite, samples A,B,C8130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite, samples A,B,C8130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite, samples A,B,C8130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 60Kivite, Melilite, Leucite samples A,B,C9130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 60Kivite, Melilite, Leucite samples A,B,C9141515samples A,G1616169130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 60Kivite, Melilite, Leucite s						5.6.6a.11	ci acity tes
Fort Portal Field40.14-0.300.254Table 1, p. 1065Vesicular carbona- titic lavaField5Table 1, p. 381 sample 15Table 1, p. 381 sample 15Table 1, p. 420 sample 1Melilite-leucite sample 1Katwe Field 	Uganda					0,0,00,11	
FieldTable 1, p. 1000titic lavaKatwe Field70.02-0.270.115Table 1, p. 420Melilite-leucitemean70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 53Biotite pyroxenitesample 15Table 2, p. 57Biotite, Katungite,mean6Table 3, p. 59Leucite ankaratrite887Table 3, p. 59Leucite ankaratrite887Table 3, p. 549Leucite ankaratrite887Table 3, p. 549Leucite ankaratrite87Table 3, p. 549Leucite ankaratrite87Table 3, p. 549Leucite ankaratrite87Table 3, p. 550Mafurite, Leucite9130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite,98130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite,98130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite,98130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite,98130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite,99130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite,9130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite,91414141414141491414	Fort Portal	4	0.14-0.30	0.25	.4	Table 1, p. 1065	Vesicular carbona-
5Table 1, p. 381 sample 15Table 1, p. 420Melilite-leucite sample 16Table 1, p. 53Biotite pyroxenite samples D £, 66Table 2, p. 57Biotite, Katungite, samples A,B,J70.08-0.180.1280.00-0.150.0687Table 2, p. 579Leucite9130.00-0.159130.00-0.1514130.00-0.1515Table 3, p. 549, rable 3, p. 550Biotite peridotite, samples A, B, Colored and the peridotite, samples A, Colored and the peridotite, samples A, Colored and the peridotite, samples A, Colored and the peridotite, samples C, D, E, I9130.00-0.1516Table 4, p. 60 rable 4, p. 6017Table 3, p. 549, rable 4, p. 60187Table 3, p. 549, rable 4, p. 60 rable 4, p. 61 rable 4, p. 61 r	Field					······ · , p. ····	titic lava
 sample i sample i Table 11, p. 420 Melilite-leucite sample i Table 11, p. 420 Melilite-leucite sample i Table 11, p. 53 Biotite pyroxenite samples 0, F, Table 2, p. 57 Biotite, Katungite, samples A, B, J Olivine ouachitite fable 3, p. 59 Leucite ankaratrite sample 19 Bunyaruguru 0.08-0.18 0.12 Table 1, p. 53 Biotite peridotite sample 2, p. 57 Katungite, Olivine- sample 19 Biotite peridotite sample 29,30 mean 0.00-0.15 0.06 Table 1, p. 53 Biotite peridotite, samples B,F Biotite peridotite, samples A, B, Colored Table 3, p. 549 Biotite peridotite, Leucite samples A, B, S, S49 Leucite ankaratrite sample 19 Biotite peridotite sample 19 Biotite peridotite Sample 19 Biotite peridotite Sample 19 Biotite peridotite Samples C, D, E, I rich ugandite Table 3, p. 550 Mafurite, Leucite samples B,F Biotite peridotite, samples A, G Limburgite, Leucite cite trachybasalt Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- S51, samples 12,45,48,50 					5	Table 1, n. 381	orere lava
Katwe Field70.02-0.270.116Table 11, p. 420 sample 1Melilite-leucite sample 1Mean70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 53 samples D.F.Biotite pyroxenite6Table 2, p. 57 samples A,B,JOlivine ouachitite olivine ouachitite6Table 3, p. 59 sample ALeucite ankaratrite sample 19Bunyaruguru70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53 sample ABiotite peridotite sample ABunyaruguru70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53 samples 2, p. 57 samples C,D,E,I rich uganditeBirunga130.00-0.150.066Table 3, p. 550 samples 29,30 samples B,F samples A-GBiotite peridotite, samples A-G Limburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt7Table 3, p. 549, S51. samples 12,45,48,50Nephelinite, Absaro- kite, Banakite, Kentallenite					-	sample 1	
Katwe Field70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 53 samples D £, 6Biotite pyroxenite samples D £, 66Table 2, p. 57 samples A,B,JBiotite, Katungite, sample A, 7Table 3, p. 59 Leucite ankaratrite sample 198Bunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53 Table 3, p. 549 Sample ABiotite peridotite rich ugandite8Bunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53 Sample ABiotite peridotite sample A9Bunyaruguru mean70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53 Sample ABiotite peridotite mean9Birunga mean130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53 Samples 29,30 Samples 29,30Biotite peridotite, mafurite9Birunga mean130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53 Samples 29,30 Samples 29,30Biotite peridotite, Mafurite, Leucite samples A-G Limburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt7Table 3, p. 549 S51. samples 12,45,48,50Kivite, Melilite, Kite, Banakite, Kite, Banakite, Kite, Banakite, Kite, Samples					5	Table 11, p. 420	Melilite-leucite
Katwe Field70.02-0.270.116Table 1, p. 53 samples D, F, 6Biotite pyroxenite samples D, F, 6mean6Table 2, p. 57 samples A, B, JOlivine ouachitite ouachitite 6Bunyaruguru70.08-0.180.126Table 3, p. 549 sample ALeucite ankaratrite sample 19Bunyaruguru70.08-0.180.126Table 2, p. 57 samples C, D, E, I rich uganditeBiotite peridotite meanBirunga130.00-0.150.066Table 3, p. 550 samples 29,30Mafurite, Leucite mafuriteBirunga130.00-0.150.066Table 4, p. 60 samples A-G cite trachybasaltBiotite peridotite, Absaro- cite trachybasalt7Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. samples 12,45,48,50Nephelinite, Absaro- kite, Banakite, kite, Banakite, kite, Banakite,						sample 1	
<pre>mean samples D_F, Table 2, p. 57 Biotite, Katungite, samples A,B,J Olivine ouachitite 6 Table 3, p. 59 Leucite ankaratrite sample A 7 Table 3, p. 549 Leucite ankaratrite sample A 7 Table 3, p. 53 Biotite peridotite mean 6 Table 2, p. 57 Katungite, Olivine- samples C,D,E,I rich ugandite 7 Table 3, p. 550 Mafurite, Leucite Birunga 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 6 Table 1, p. 53 Biotite peridotite, mean 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 6 Table 4, p. 60 Kivite, Melilite, samples B,F Biotite pyroxenite 6 Table 4, p. 60 Kivite, Melilite, 7 Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. samples k, E, Banakite, 12,45,48,50 Kentallenite</pre>	Katwe Field	7	0.02-0.27	0.11	6	Table 1, p. 53	Biotite pyroxenite
6 Table 2, p. 57 Biotite, Katungite, samples A,B,J Olivine ouachitite 6 Table 3, p. 59 Leucite ankaratrite sample A 7 Table 3, p. 549 Leucite ankaratrite sample 19 Bunyaruguru 7 0.08-0.18 0.12 6 Table 1, p. 53 Biotite peridotite mean 6 Table 2, p. 57 Katungite, Olivine- samples C,D,E,I rich ugandite 7 Table 3, p. 550 Mafurite, Leucite samples 29,30 mafurite Biotite peridotite, mean 8 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 6 Table 1, p. 53 Biotite peridotite, mean 8 6 Table 4, p. 60 Kivite, Melilite, 51. samples 4, 548,50 Kentallenite	mean					samples D,E,	
samples A,B,J Olivine ouachitite Table 3, p. 59 Leucite ankaratrite sample A 7 Table 3, p. 549 Leucite ankaratrite sample 19 Bunyaruguru 7 0.08-0.18 0.12 6 Table 1, p. 53 Biotite peridotite mean 8 Table 2, p. 57 Katungite, Olivine- samples C,D,E,I rich ugandite 7 Table 3, p. 550 Mafurite, Leucite samples 29,30 mafurite Biotite peridotite, samples B,F Biotite peridotite, samples B,F Biotite peridotite, samples A,B,J Olivine ouachitite 6 Table 1, p. 53 Biotite peridotite, samples C,D,E,I rich ugandite 7 Table 3, p. 550 Mafurite, Leucite samples B,F Biotite peridotite, samples A-G Limburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt 7 Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. samples kite, Banakite, 12,45,48,50 Kentallenite					6	Table 2, p. 57	Biotite, Katungite,
6Table 3, p. 59Leucite ankaratrite sample A7Table 3, p. 549Leucite ankaratrite sample 1987Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite sample A87Table 2, p. 57Katungite, Olivine- samples C,D,E,I87Table 3, p. 550Mafurite, Leucite samples 29,308130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 539130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 539130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 539130.00-0.150.066Table 4, p. 609130.00-0.150.066Table 4, p. 609130.00-0.150.066Table 3, p. 549910101010101013101010101013141414101414141114141412141414131414141414141415141414161414141714141417141414161414141714141416141414171414141614141417141414						samples A,B,J	Olivine ouachitite
Sample ABunyaruguru70.08-0.180.127Table 3, p. 549 sample 19Leucite ankaratrite sample 19Bunyaruguru70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53 sample ABiotite peridotite samples C,D,E,IBirunga130.00-0.150.066Table 3, p. 550 samples 29,30Mafurite, Leucite mafuriteBirunga130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53 samples 29,30Biotite peridotite, mafuriteBirunga130.00-0.150.066Table 4, p. 60 samples A-GKivite, Melilite, cite trachybasalt7Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. samples 12,45,48,50Nephelinite, Absaro- kite, Banakite, 12,45,48,50Kite, Banakite, kite, Banakite,					6	Table 3, p. 59	Leucite ankaratrite
7Table 3, p. 549 sample 19Leucite ankaratrite sample 19Bunyaruguru70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite sample A6Table 2, p. 57Katungite, Olivine- samples C,D,E,Irich ugandite7Table 3, p. 550 samples 29,30Mafurite, Leucite mafurite8130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite, samples B,F9130.00-0.150.066Table 4, p. 60Kivite, Melilite, cite trachybasalt7Table 3, p. 549, samples A-GLimburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt7Table 3, p. 549, S51. samples L2,45,48,50Nephelinite, Absaro- kite, Banakite, L2,45,48,50						sample A	
Bunyaruguru70.08-0.180.126Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite sample Amean6Table 2, p. 57Katungite, Olivine- samples C,D,E,Irich ugandite87Table 3, p. 550Mafurite, Leucite mafurite8130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite, samples 29,308130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite, mean6Table 4, p. 60Kivite, Melilite, cite trachybasalt7Table 3, p. 549, 					7	Table 3, p. 549	Leucite ankaratrite
Bunyaruguru 7 0.08-0.18 0.12 6 Table 1, p. 53 Biotite peridotite mean 6 Table 2, p. 57 Katungite, Olivine- samples C,D,E,I rich ugandite 7 Table 3, p. 550 Mafurite, Leucite samples 29,30 mafurite Birunga 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 6 Table 1, p. 53 Biotite peridotite, mean 6 Table 4, p. 60 Kivite, Melilite, samples 8,F Biotite pyroxenite 6 Table 4, p. 60 Kivite, Melilite, samples A-G Limburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt 7 Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. samples kite, Banakite, 12,45,48,50 Kentallenite	_					sample 19	
mean sample A Table 2, p. 57 samples C,D,E,I Firunga 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 Birunga 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 mean Mean Samples 29,30 Samples 29,30 Samples 29,30 Samples 29,30 Samples 8,F Samples 8,F Samples 8,F Samples 4-G Limburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. samples 12,45,48,50 Kentallenite	Bunyaruguru	7	0.08-0.18	0.12	6	Table 1, p. 53	Biotite peridotite
6 Table 2, p. 57 Katungite, Olivine- samples C.D.E.I rich ugandite 7 Table 3, p. 550 Mafurite, Leucite samples 29,30 mafurite Birunga 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 6 Table 1, p. 53 Biotite peridotite, mean 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 6 Table 4, p. 60 Kivite, Melilite, samples B.F Biotite pyroxenite 6 Table 4, p. 60 Kivite, Melilite, samples A-G Limburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt 7 Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. samples kite, Banakite, 12,45,48,50 Kentallenite	mean					sample A	
samples C,D,E,I rich ugandite 7 Table 3, p. 550 Mafurite, Leucite samples 29,30 mafurite Birunga 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 6 Table 1, p. 53 Biotite peridotite, mean 5 Biotite pyroxenite 6 Table 4, p. 60 Kivite, Melilite, samples A-G Limburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt 7 Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. samples kite, Banakite, 12,45,48,50 Kentallenite					6	Table 2, p. 57	Katungite, Olivine-
7 Table 3, p. 550 Mafurite, Leucite samples 29,30 mafurite mean 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 6 Table 1, p. 53 Biotite peridotite, mean 6 Samples B,F Biotite pyroxenite 6 Table 4, p. 60 Kivite, Melilite, samples A-G Limburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt 7 Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. samples kite, Banakite, 12,45,48,50 Kentallenite						samples C,D,E,I	rich ugandite
Birunga130.00-0.150.06Samples29,30mafuriteBirunga130.00-0.150.066Table 1, p. 53Biotite peridotite, samples B,FBiotite peridotite, Biotite pyroxenite6Table 4, p. 60Kivite, Melilite, samples A-GLimburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt7Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. sampleskite, Banakite, l2,45,48,50Kentallenite					7	Table 3, p. 550	Mafurite, Leucite
Birunga 13 0.00-0.15 0.06 6 Table 1, p. 53 Biotite peridotite, mean 5 Samples B,F Biotite pyroxenite 6 Table 4, p. 60 Kivite, Melilite, samples A-G Limburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt 7 Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. samples kite, Banakite, 12,45,48,50 Kentallenite						samples 29,30	mafurite
mean samples B,F Biotite pyroxenite 6 Table 4, p. 60 Kivite, Melilite, samples A-G Limburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt 7 Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. samples kite, Banakite, 12,45,48,50 Kentallenite	Birunga	13	0.00-0.15	0.06	6	Table 1, p. 53	Biotite peridotite,
6 Table 4, p. 60 Kivite, Melilite, samples A-G Limburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt 7 Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. samples kite, Banakite, 12,45,48,50 Kentallenite	mean				_	samples B,F	Biotite pyroxenite
samples A-G Limburgite, Leu- cite trachybasalt 7 Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. samples kite, Banakite, 12,45,48,50 Kentallenite					6	Table 4, p. 60	Kivite, Melilite,
cite trachybasalt 7 Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. samples kite, Banakite, 12,45,48,50 Kentallenite						samples A-G	Limburgite, Leu-
7 Table 3, p. 549, Nephelinite, Absaro- 551. samples kite, Banakite, 12,45,48,50 Kentallenite					_		cite trachybasalt
551. samples kite, Banakite, 12,45,48,50 Kentallenite					7	Table 3, p. 549,	Nephelinite, Absaro-
12,45,48,50 Kentallenite						551. samples	kite, Banakite,
						12,45,48,50	Kentallenite

Sodium-potassium-magnesium bicarbonate The western Rift Valley of East Africa contains both low and high fluoride sodium-potassium-magnesium bicarbonate waters. The high fluoride waters (F:Cl = 1.26) leach high fluoride potassic alkalic rocks in the Fort Portal and Ndale volcanic fields (Uganda). The low fluoride waters

(F:Cl=0.11) leach low fluoride potassic alkalic rocks in the Katwe (Uganda), Bunyaruguru (Uganda), and Birunga volcanic fields (Uganda, Rwanda, Zaïre).

Sodium chloride

Sodium chloride waters have particularly low F:Cl ratios (< 0.01) because rainwater is probably low in fluoride and relatively high in calcium. Calcium availability in these waters (*see* Table 1) increases the likelihood of codeposition of fluoride as fluorapatite or fluorite along with calcium carbonate.

Calcium-magnesium bicarbonate and unusual springs

Few data are available on fluoride concentrations in waters of the calcium-magnesium bicarbonate and unusual springs types since these waters are rare in East Africa; F:Cl ratios ranged from 0.07 to 0.11.

Figure 1 summarizes the relationship between the fluoride content of the volcanic rocks (Table 3) and the F:Cl ratios of the surface waters (Table 2). Surface waters in the Katwe volcanic field and those sampled on the slopes of Mount Kilimanjaro both have lower ratios than one would expect from the rock analyses alone. The lakes in the Katwe volcanic field are very concentrated; possibly some fluoride has coprecipitated with other minerals, or as villiaumite (NaF), while chloride was not affected. The waters draining Mount Kilimanjaro probably have lower F:Cl ratios than expected because we have tested only three samples.

Correlation coefficients

The correlation coefficients for fluoride with other solutes (Table 4) also suggest that fluoride comes primarily from chemical weathering because the highest correlation is between fluoride and alkalinity (HCO₃⁻ + CO₃²⁻). Alkalinity is a major product of the incongruent solution of silicate rocks (*see* Stumm and Morgan 1970). The comparatively low correlation between fluoride and chloride suggests that the fluoride contribution from atmospheric precipita-

Table 4. Pearson correlation coefficients for the major ions and conductivity on fluoride. r—Correlation coefficients; n—number

	r	n	sig.
Cond.	0.67	207	0.001
Na	0.53	210	0.001
K	0.35	209	0.001
Ca	-0.17	193	0.009
Mg SO.	0.10	208	0.001
C1	0.40	210	0.001
HCO3+CO3	0.67	206	0.001
PO4	0.54	113	0.001
S1	0.40	193	0.001

tion is of only secondary importance. Calcium and magnesium have significant (< 0.08) negative correlations with fluoride. Without other information these could be interpreted as indicating that various minerals containing calcium, magnesium, and fluoride have precipitated. However, the alkaline earths are most likely removed as carbonate precipitates or by ion exchange on clays before fluoride precipitates are formed. Solubility calculations for these waters often indicate that fluorite should precipitate before calcite, but we have observed only calcite precipitation when the solubility data would favor the formation of both fluorite and calcite. This suggests a kinetic problem involving the formation of fluoride-rich minerals.

Fluoride in saline lakes

Fluoride in surface water may either be transported to the ocean or removed by precipitation in continental basins. The latter alternative is of special significance in East Africa because the castern Rift Valley creates a large area of internal drainage extending from Ethiopia through Tanzania. We found the highest fluoride concentrations in the saline lakes of the Rift Valley, e.g. Hannington, Elmenteita, and Magadi.

Some of the highest F:Cl ratios are found in the Momela Lakes, a series of closed basin lakes on the slopes of Mount Meru. Hecky (1971) has detailed their origin, geochemical history, and paleoecology. They are set in depressions on the surface



Fig. 2. Circles represent fluoride and chloride in the surface waters at Momela. The black circle is Small Momela Lake. The dots symbolize fluoride and chloride in the interstitial waters of a 7-m core from Small Momela Lake. The dashed lines represent slopes of 1.5 and 0.5; the solid line indicates a slope of 1.0.

of an extensive mudflow resulting from the collapse of the northern caldera wall of Mount Meru and share a homogeneous geological and climatic setting. The ionic composition of the lakes is nearly constant, but they do exhibit a 10-fold range of concentrations ($k_{20} = 1,758-22,500 \ \mu$ mhos cm⁻¹). These characteristics, combined with their high F:Cl ratios, make these lakes a convenient natural system for observing the geochemical behavior of fluoride during evaporative concentration in surface waters and early diagenesis in sediments of saline lakes.

The F:Cl ratios of the surface waters of the Momela Lakes and the Nanyuki River which drains the Meru caldera and part of the mudflow surface are represented in Fig. 2. The ratio is essentially constant at 1.5 for all surface waters except the river (F:Cl = 0.5) and Silver Sea, an artificial lake maintained by water pumped from the river. This lower ratio represents surface runoff while the higher values for the other lakes reflect the significant input of groundwaters which maintain these closed lakes at their nearly constant level. The groundwater leaches more fluoride than the river waters because of its longer residence time in association with the fluoriderich rocks of the mudflow (Table 3) and, thus, yields the higher ratio.

The constancy of the F:Cl ratio over the concentration gradient suggests that neither fluoride nor chloride is precipitated during evaporative concentration. Eugster (1970) also noted that fluoride accumulated without loss during the evaporative concentration of springs and brines of Lake Magadi and concluded that the high alkalinity of the Magadi waters removed calcium by precipitation of calcium carbonate, thus effectively preventing formation of fluorite (CaF₂). The Momela Lakes, Lake Magadi, and other saline lakes of the eastern Rift Valley are sodium bicarbonate-carbonate lakes. The high fluoride values reflect the nearly complete removal of calcium by carbonate precipitation: therefore in these lakes fluoride can increase until saturation with respect to villiaumite (NaF) reached.

The F:Cl ratios in the interstitial waters of a 7-m core from Small Momela Lake. based on calculated fluoride concentrations. are presented in Fig. 2. Fluoride activity was measured by electrometric methods and an activity coefficient was computed with the Davies (1962) equation. The assumption implicit in such a computation that there is no complexing is supported by our comparison in surface waters of calculated and measured activity values. A salinity gradient exists in the sediment because a wetter climate over the past 600 years has caused the lake to become diluted. The concentrations of the various ions in the sediment reflect this historical dilution as well as readjustments dictated the diffusional gradients imposed by (Hecky 1971). The F:Cl ratio drops from 1.7 in the lake water to 0.7 in interstitial water of the surficial sediments. The fluoride concentration in the lake is 5.8 mcq liter⁻¹ while that of the pore water of the surficial sediment is 3.3, showing that the ratio reduction is due to an absolute removal of fluoride. Lake Reshitani, another of the Momela Lakes, shows the same phenomenon. The F:Cl ratio drops from 1.5 to 1.0 with a reduction in fluoride from 27 mcq liter⁻¹ in the lake to 21 in the interstitial waters of the surficial sediments.

Clearly the sedimentary environment in these lakes favors relatively rapid reactions reducing the fluoride concentrations of pore waters. Previous studies of lake, estuarine, and ocean sediments have shown the opposite trend, i.e. enrichment of fluoride relative to chloride (Windom 1971; Shiskina 1966; Mun et al. 1966). Those studies invoke the release of fluoride from the degradation of organic matter and possibly submarine weathering of detrital minerals to explain the fluoride enrichment. Such processes can undoubtedly be important in low fluoride waters where a change of 1 mg liter⁻¹ is significant. However, in high fluoride lacustrine sediments we observe changes of opposite direction and orders of magnitude larger; the removal and subsequent release of fluoride by biological action is not detectable.

The magnitude and specificity of the reduction in fluoride favor a hypothesis of precipitation. Carpenter (1969) has shown that the major process removing fluoride from the oceans is codeposition as fluorite or fluorapatite with calcium carbonate minerals. Fluorite, CaF₂, and fluorapatite, $(CaF)Ca_4(PO_4)_3$, are also the most likely authigenic minerals in these lakes. Both of these are very insoluble. Smyshlyaev and Edeleva (1962) gave $k_{\rm sp} = 10^{-10.57}$ for fluorite at 25°C. However, calcium is low $(0.04-0.30 \text{ meg liter}^{-1})$ in these highly alkaline surface and interstitial waters (see Table 1). Much of the calcium is removed as calcite in travertine deposits at springs and along streams on the mountain. Therefore, although these waters are in equilibrium with CaCO₃, there is only a small amount of precipitation within the lakes.

Only in the sediment where $CaCO_3$ ranges from 1-5% by dry weight does the water come into intimate contact with a relatively abundant source of calcium. In addition, the long residence time in the sediments can overcome any kinetic problems involved in the formation of fluoride-rich minerals. Further evidence for the existence of a calcium fluoride solid phase is found in analyses of acid extracts (0.1 N HCl for 12 hr) from Reshitani sediments (Hecky 1971), where calcium and fluoride were positively correlated (r = 0.626, significant at < 0.05 for n = 12). These data corroborate Eugster's (1970) suggestion that fluoride-rich strata in cores from Lake Magadi might have formed by interaction of high fluoride brines with calcite-bearing muds. Calcium concentrations in the pore waters of cores from Reshitani and Small Momela were not lower than in the lake water: this should indicate that only preexisting calcium carbonate crystals are involved in the removal of fluoride from solution.

DISCUSSION

Fluoride in East Africa

Previous workers have generally agreed that volcanic activity is in some way responsible for the high fluoride concentrations of East African waters, but the exact source of fluoride has remained obscure (Declstra 1971). Until recently the acquisition of fluoride from juvenile water has been perhaps the prevalent explanation (Baker 1958; James 1967). The hypothesis that the high fluoride concentrations are the expected products of the chemical weathering of fluoride-rich volcanic rocks has been seriously suggested by Baker (1958) and Kilham (1971).

Our study indicates that the fluoride cycle in East Africa may be relatively simple. Rainwater acquires fluoride in small amounts from marine acrosols and continental dust in the atmosphere. After precipitation, rainwater, in conjunction with biologically produced carbon dioxide, leaches fluoride from soils and crystalline rocks. The fluoride derived from weather-

ing reactions is particularly conspicuous in these tropical environments because high temperature and humidity promote high rates of weathering. The volcanic rocks of East Africa are much richer in fluoride than analogous rocks in other parts of the world (Cerasimovskiy and Savinova 1969), so high fluoride concentrations (the products of weathering reactions) are to be expected in the surface waters. In the internal drainage basins of the eastern Rift Valley fluoride generally accumulates in lakes until the ion activity product of villiaumite (NaF) is exceeded; the precipitation of fluoride as fluorite or fluorapatite is not observed because calcium is not readily available. Waters leaving the open drainage basins of East Africa contain considerably more fluoride than the 0.1 mg liter⁻¹ value suggested by Turckian (1969) as the approximate world river average. The Victoria Nile and Lake Tanganyika, tributary to the Zaïre (formerly Congo) River system, contain 0.38 and 1.08 mg liter⁻¹ fluoride (Table 1).

Fluoride analyses have heretofore been infrequent and analytically difficult (see Talling and Talling 1965). Probably for these reasons fluoride as a possible selective agent in the ecology of various organisms in East Africa has been ignored. An important medical and economic exception is fluorosis in man and domestic animals. The presence of fluoride in potable waters is a mixed blessing. At low concentrations $(1 \text{ mg liter}^{-1})$ it is recommended by the American Public Health Association (1965) for prophylaxis of dental caries. However, 10 mg liter⁻¹ is considered excessive and detrimental to teeth and bones. Concentrations considerably greater than this are observed in many East African lakes (Kilham 1971; Hecky 1971). In regions surrounding Mount Meru, Tanzania (see p. 937), for example, fluorosis is a recognized problem in livestock and human populations (Walker and Milne 1955). It could also be a problem for wild game in the high fluoride volcanic regions of East Africa.

High fluoride concentrations may also

influence the distribution of zooplankton, phytoplankton, and higher aquatic plants. Although experimental data are unavailable, we would like to mention some situations where high fluoride concentrations may be involved. Beadle (1932) and La-Barbera and Kilham (in prep.) have noted that copepods are not observed in East African lakes with conductivities > 23,500 μ mhos cm⁻¹ at 20°C even though copepods are found in more concentrated lakes in Australia. Lake Hannington, for example, with a conductivity of 57,400 μ mhos cm⁻¹ and fluoride concentration of 1,064 mg liter⁻¹ does not contain copepods. Lake Tulusia has the highest fluoride concentrations in which copepods are found (437 mg liter $^{-1}$).

Lewin (1962) has shown that fluoride inhibits silicon uptake and respiration in diatoms. Differences in fluoride concentration may be partially responsible for the differences in diatom floras between dilute sodium chloride and sodium bicarbonate lakes (Hecky and Kilham 1973). Particularly striking in East Africa is the distribution of the sedge Cyperus papyrus. It is usually not seen in lakes with conductivities > 1,000 μ mhos cm⁻¹, but it is notably absent in relatively high fluoride lakes with lower conductivities such as Oloidien Bay and Lake Bisina. Oloidien Bay is connected to Lake Naivasha which has a much lower fluoride concentration and contains vast floating islands of C. papyrus. Lake Bisina stands out because it is the only papyrusfree lake in the massive papyrus swamp surrounding Lake Kioga (LaBarbera, personal communication).

Other regions

The role of chemical weathering and atmospheric precipitation in the major sedimentary cycle of fluoride outside of East Africa is a matter of considerable debate. Correns (1956) in his review of halogen geochemistry concluded that rock weathering played a role in the geochemical cycle of fluoride. In light of current concepts concerning the role of chemical weathering in freshwater solute acquisition (see Stumm and Morgan 1970), the data reviewed by Fleischer and Robinson (1963) also suggest that chemical weathering is a significant source of fluoride. They report that many groundwaters have high fluoride and sodium bicarbonate contents in both volcanic and nonvolcanic regions. This is exactly the correlation one would expect if fluoride is a product of chemical weathering.

A study by Reeder et al. (1972) of the hydrogeochemistry of the surface waters of the Mackenzie River (Canada) is inconclusive as to the ultimate sources of fluoride in the drainage basin. Their investigation, using factor analysis, revealed that the fluoride in the river was associated mainly with other ionic species which were acquired from the solution of carbonate rocks. However, on the basis of Carpenter's (1969) data from the literature concerning the fluoride content of precipitation in industrial regions they tentatively suggest that much of the fluoride in the drainage basin may come from the rain. Because of the association they found between fluoride and the products of rock weathering they point out that Carpenter's hypothesis that most of the fluoride in river water is cyclic needs to be tested.

Carpenter (1969) argues that weathering is not a primary source of fluoride and suggests that atmospheric precipitation alone contains enough to account for that observed in most river waters. He estimates that fluoride deposition in the ocean amounts to only 10-20% of that delivered to the ocean by rivers and therefore concludes that 80-90% of the fluoride in freshwaters is recycled, assuming a steady-state ocean. Carpenter cites several determinations of F:Cl ratios in rainwater ranging from 0.03-0.12 by weight which agree well with the amounts required by the recycling hypothesis. However, the F:Cl ratio of the ocean is only 6.8×10^{-5} (Brewer et al. 1970). A recycling hypothesis must infer a mechanism operating on marine acrosols for the enrichment of fluoride relative to chloride. Recent data of Wilkness and Bressan (1971) show that fluoride is not preferentially enriched in laboratory aerosols produced from seawater. Marine aerosols collected above the sea surface are only slightly enriched in fluoride because of contamination processes that are not yet fully understood (Wilkness, personal communication).

Because marine aerosols are not greatly enriched in fluoride with respect to seawater, some other sources of rainwater contamination must be considered as a cause of the ratios Carpenter cites. Possible sources of fluoride include volcanic activity (which is little understood), atmospheric dust from continental sources, and industrial pollution (including freons and other volatile organo-fluorine compounds, as well as fluoride derived from the burning of fossil fuels) (Wilkness, personal communication). There are few reliable quantitative estimates for the acquisition of fluoride by rainwater from any of these sources. In addition, few data concerning the actual fluoride content of rainwater are available. Two of the potential sources of fluoride in rainwater, atmospheric dust and industrial pollution, are influenced by the activities of man. Atmospheric dust has increased as a result of deforestation and other disturbances of natural ecosystems.

We would like to propose, on the basis of the African data, that the apparent imbalance in the fluoride cycle may be the result of the activities of man. This hypothesis could be tested by examining lake sediment cores or ice cores (from polar regions) for changes in fluoride concentration. This historical fluoridation of the atmosphere, if it has occurred, may render chemical analyses of rainwater meaningless in reconstructing the natural fluoride cycle.

CONCLUSION

High weathering rates, geological simplicity, limited industrialism, and fluoriderich rocks combine in East Africa to demonstrate that rock weathering is the primary source of fluoride in surface waters. We believe that a lack of these characteristics obscures the acquisition of fluoride from rocks in other regions. Therefore, we propose that weathering processes have been the primary determinant of surface water fluoride concentration and the primary contributor of fluoride to the ocean through most of geological time. Other sources such as volcanic emanations and inputs resulting from man's activities may in the short term entirely mask weathering processes, but are minor in the long term. The imbalance in the current oceanic fluoride budget which Carpenter (1969) recognizes is probably real and may be a result of the activities of man. Otherwise the assumption of a steady-state ocean with respect to fluoride necessitates a recycling hypothesis that is not substantiated by the experimental and observational data. The effects of any imbalance on the world's oceans are difficult to predict. Because mechanisms exist for removal of fluoride from the ocean, fluoride concentrations perhaps would not increase significantly from their present level. The possible biological consequences of any increase should stimulate further investigation of the fluoride cvele.

REFERENCES

- American Public Health Association. 1965. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 12th ed. APHA. BAKER, B. H. 1958. Geology of the Magadi
- area. Geol. Surv. Kenya Rep. 42. 81 p.
- BEADLE, L. C. 1932. Scientific results of the Cambridge expedition to the East African lakes, 1930-31. 4. J. Linn. Soc. (Zool.) **38:** 157–211.
- Bell, K., AND J. L. POWELL. 1969. Strontium isotopic studies of alkalic rocks: the potassium-rich lavas of the Birunga and Toro-Ankole regions—East and Central Equatorial Africa. J. Petrol. 10: 536–572.
- BOWEN, N. L. 1937. Recent high-temperature research on silicates and its significance in igneous geology. Amer. J. Sci. 33: 1-21.
- BREWER, P. G., D. W. SPENCER, AND P. E. WILK-NESS. 1970. Anomalous fluoride concentrations in the North Atlantic. Deep-Sea Res. 17: 1-17.
- 1969. Factors controlling the CARPENTER, R. marine geochemistry of fluorine. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 33: 1153-1167.
- CORRENS, C. W. 1956. The geochemistry of the halogens. Phys. Chem. Earth 1: 181-233.
- DAVIES, C. W. 1962. Ion association. Butterworths.
- DEELSTRA, H. 1971. La teneur en fluor dans

les lacs de l'Afrique central et oriental. Bull. Soc. Belg. Etud. Geogr. 40(1): 111-119.

- EUGSTER, H. P. 1970. Chemistry and origin of the brines of Lake Magadi, Kenya. Miner. Soc. Amer. Spec. Pap. 3, p. 215-235.
- FLEISCHER, M., AND W. O. ROBINSON. 1963. Some problems of the geochemistry of fluorine, p. 58-75. In D. M. Shaw [ed.], Studies in analytical geochemistry. R. Soc. Can., Spec. Publ. 6.
- GERASIMOVSKIY, V I., AND Y. N. SAVINOVA. 1969. Fluorine contents of volcanic rocks in the rift zone of East Africa. Geochim. Int. 6: 1124-1128.
- GIBBS, R. J. 1970. Mechanisms controlling world water chemistry. Science 170: 1088-1090.
- HARWOOD, J. E. 1969. The use of an ion-selective electrode for routine fluoride analysis on water samples. Water Res. 3: 273-280. HECKY, R. E. 1971. The palcolimnology of the
- alkaline, saline lakes on the Mt. Meru lahar. Ph.D. thesis, Duke Univ., Durham, N. C. 209 p.
- AND P. KILHAM. 1973. Diatoms in alkaline, saline lakes: ecology and geochemical implications. Limnol. Oceanogr. 18: 53-71.
- HEMENS, J., AND R. J. WARWICK. 1972. The effects of fluoride on estuarine organisms. Water Res. 6: 1301-1308.
- HIGAZY, R. A. 1954. Trace elements of volcanic ultrabasic potassic rocks of southwestern Uganda and adjoining part of the Belgian Congo. Bull. Geol. Soc. Amer. 65: 39-70.
- HOLMES, A., AND H. F. HARWOOD. 1932. Petrology of the volcanic fields east and south-east of Ruwenzori, Uganda. Quart. J. Geol. Soc. Lond. 88: 370-442.
- JAMES, T. C. 1967. Thermal springs in Tanzania. Trans. Sect. B. Inst. Min. Met. 76: 1 - 18.
- KILHAM, P. 1971. Biogeochemistry of African lakes and rivers. Ph.D. thesis, Duke Univ., Durham, N. C. 199 p.
- LEWIN, J. C. 1962. Silicification, p. 445-456. In R. A. Lewin [ed.], Physiology and biochemistry of algae. Academic.
- LIVINGSTONE, D. A. 1963. Chemical composition of rivers and lakes. U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap. 440-G. 64 p.
- McCANN, H. G. 1968. The solubility of fluorapatite and its relationship to that of calcium fluoride. Arch. Oral Biol. 13: 987-1001.
- MACDONALD, R., D. K. BAILEY, AND D. S. SUTHERLAND. 1970. Oversaturated peralkaline glassy trachytes from Kenya. J. Petrol. **11:** 507–517.
- MACKERETH, F. J. H. 1963. Some methods of water analysis for limnologists. Sci. Publ. Freshwater Biol. Ass. 21. 71 p.

- MASON, B. 1966. Principles of geochemistry. Wiley.
- MOORE, D. J. 1971. The uptake and concentration of fluoride by the Blue Crab, *Callinectes sapidus*. Chesapeake Sci. **12**(1): 1–13.
- MUN, A. E., Z. A. BAZILEVICH, AND K. P. BUDE-YEVA. 1966. Geochemical behavior of fluoride in the bottom sediments of continental basins. Geochim. Int. 3: 698-703.
- O'BRIEN, R. D. 1967. Insecticides, action and metabolism. Academic.
- ORION RESEARCH. 1967. Instruction manual fluoride electrode model 94–09. Orion Res., Cambridge. 24 p.
- PERKIN-ELMER. 1964. Analytical methods for atomic absorption spectrophotometry. Perkin-Elmer, Norwalk. Looseleaf, unpaged.
- REEBURGH, W. S. 1967. An improved interstitial water sampler. Limnol. Oceanogr. 12: 163– 165.
- REEDER, S. W., B. HITCHON, AND A. A. LEVINSON. 1972. Hydrogeochemistry of the surface waters of the Mackenzie River drainage basin. 1. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 36: 825-865.
- SIIISKINA, O. V. 1966. Fluorine in oceanic sediments and their pore solutions. Geochim. Int. 3: 152–159.
- SLAVIN, W. 1968. Atomic absorption spectroscopy. Wiley.
- SMITH, F. A. 1966. Pharmacology of fluorides, v. 1. Springer-Verlag.
- SMYSHLYAEV, S. I., AND N. P. EDELEVA. 1962. Determination of the solubility of minerals; 1,

Solubility of fluorite. Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Khim. Khim. Tekhnol. 5: 871-874.

- STUMM, W., AND J. J. MORGAN. 1970. Aquatic chemistry. Wiley.
- TALLING, J. F., AND I. B. TALLING. 1965. The chemical composition of African lake water. Int. Rev. Gesamten Hydrobiol. 50: 421-463.
- TUREKIAN, K. K. 1969. The oceans, streams and atmosphere, p. 297–323. In K. H. Wedepohl [cd.], Handbook of geochemistry. Springer-Verlag.
- VON KNORRING, O., AND C. G. B. DUBOIS. 1961. Carbonatitic lava from Fort Portal in western Uganda. Nature (Lond.) 192: 1064–1065.
- WALKER, G. W., AND A. H. MILNE. 1955. Fluorosis in cattle in the Northern Province of Tanganyika. E. Afr. Agr. J. **21**: 2–5.
- WARNER, T. B. 1969. Fluoride in sea water: measurement with lanthanum fluoride electrode. Science **165**: 178–180.
- ——. 1971. Electrode determination of fluoride in ill-characterized natural waters. Water Res. 5: 459–465.
- WILKNESS, P. E., AND D. J. BRESSAN. 1971. Chemical processes at the air-sea interface: the behavior of fluorine. J. Geophys. Res. 76: 736-741.
- WINDOM, H. L. 1971. Fluoride concentrations in coastal and estuarine waters of Georgia. Limnol. Oceanogr. 16: 806–810.

Submitted: 5 October 1972 Accepted: 13 July 1973