

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Journal of African Earth Sciences 39 (2004) 517–525

Journal of African **Earth Sciences**

www.elsevier.com/locate/jafrearsci

Geomorphological evolution of the southern coastal zone of Kenya

J.O.Z. Abuodha *

School of Environment and Earth Sciences, Maseno University, P.O. Box 333, Maseno, Kenya

Available online 17 September 2004

Abstract

Information on chronology, terrace levels and morphological elements associated with former sea level of southern Kenya are reviewed. Additional palaeontological and lithostratigraphical evidence is presented that may be relevant to the interpretation of major palaeogeographical events that occurred particularly during the Pleistocene. This paper therefore provides a description of the active processes in the genesis and development of coastal landscape in southern Kenya.

Up to eight former sea level still-stands can be recognized by comparing the heights of coastal terraces formed during the Pleistocene. These can be grouped into four main levels; namely the Ganda Terrace (over 20m + MSL), the Kilifi Terrace (15– $20m + MSL$), the Malindi Terrace (7–10m + MSL) and the Shelly Beach Terrace (5m + MSL). The lowest terrace is the modem reef platform, and which occupies a level of 2m + MSL. Although it is difficult to ascertain whether this lowest marine terrace is of Pleistocene or Holocene age, it can be related to a sea level pause at its present position about 30 000 years ago.

The present study demonstrates that the development of this coast is associated with eustatic, isostatic and tectonic movements. The raised coral reef formations and other marine features present between 10 and $20 \text{ m} + \text{MSL}$ are the evidence for such processes. They have been dated at Middle Pleistocene to Early Holocene, a period which encompasses the Würmian Glaciation, when sea level regressed to 120m—MSL lower than that of modem times. There is no evidence to support the contention that the postglaciation eustatic rise in sea level ever reached above 10m + MSL levels over the last 20 000 years. This is because during that time the Kenyan coast had been uplifted by above 60m as a result of neotectonic movements associated with isostatic response to crustal loading. The presence of parallel step faults on all but the Shelly Beach terrace and the absence of a true fore-reef facies in the raised coral reefs are the main evidence of tectonic uplift of the coastal zone.

2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Coastal geomorphology; Pleistocene; Terraces; Sea level indicators; Eustatic; Isostatic and tectonic movements; Raised coral reefs; Mechanisms; Glacial Control Theory

1. Introduction

The East African seaboard is an Afro-trailing edge type of coastal margin ([Inman and Nordstrom, 1971\)](#page-8-0). Its configuration has been moulded by the action of eustatic sea level oscillations in combination with isostatic and differential tectonic movements.

The number of creeks north of Mombasa [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)) suggests that there has been a recent rise in sea level.

E-mail address: joabuodha@yahoo.com

The submerged marine terraces are in keeping with this transgression; however several raised beach terraces, now occurring 3–5 km inland, indicate a substantial fall in sea level at some time in the past.

[Fig. 1](#page-1-0) shows the wide variety of deposits in the Malindi area; the estimated ages of stratigraphical succession are given in [Table 1](#page-1-0) and a geological cross-section for the Mombasa area is shown in [Fig. 2.](#page-2-0) The Taru Grits and the Duruma Sandstone series, not represented on the map, are believed to form the immediate substrate to this area ([Oosterom, 1988\)](#page-8-0). Recent deposits comprise Recent Dune Sands, Recent Beach Sands and Tidal Flat Deposits, and Recent Alluvium.

^{*} Tel.: +254 057 351622/351008/351011; fax: +254 057 351221/ 351153.

^{0899-5362/\$ -} see front matter © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2004.07.012

Fig. 1. Geological map of the coastal zone in the Malindi area north of Mombasa (redrawn from [Thompson, 1956\)](#page-8-0); the postulated Ruvu Mombasa Fault (F) is shown (scale is in km). The estimated ages of stratigraphical succession are given in [Fig. 2](#page-2-0).

2. Geological setting

2.1. Palaeozoic, Mesozoic and Tertiary rocks

The Palaeozoic-Mesozoic outcrops, stratigraphy and plate tectonic processes of coastal Kenya have been described by [Cannon et al. \(1981\)](#page-8-0) and [Rais-Assa \(1988\)](#page-8-0). Rifting occurred along the northeastern part of Gondwanaland at the inception of the proto Indian Ocean during Upper Carboniferous period. Vertical and horizontal tectonic movements, associated with the final phase of breakup of Gondwanaland, took place during Permo-Triassic times and final drowning of the continental margin is reflected by major marine incursion during the Jurassic ([Kent, 1974](#page-8-0)).

Along certain straight segments of the coast, the shelf is markedly absent, suggesting a fault origin. This occurrence is supported by a sudden drop of the sea-floor topography off the Kenyan coast which is attributed to the postulated Ruvu-Mombasa fault ([Abuodha,](#page-8-0) [1998\)](#page-8-0). This fault apparently maintains a NNE-SSW orientation throughout (Fig. 1).

The underlying sedimentary sequence consists of the Upper Carboniferous Taru Grits overlain by Permo Triassic Karroo-strata represented by the Duruma Sandstone Series [\(Caswell, 1956; Thompson, 1956\)](#page-8-0). The Tertiary sediments are represented by the Baratumu.

Fig. 2. Geological cross-section along Mombasa Island and Mainland showing coastal terraces and probable variations of sea level in relation to land level during Pleistocene time.

Beds (sandstones with subordinate shales and limestones) of Miocene age and the Pliocene Marafa Beds ([Fig. 1\)](#page-1-0). The latter comprises unconsolidated sands, and sandstones with subordinate shales and marls. [Thompson \(1956\)](#page-8-0) dated them as Upper Pliocene to Early Pleistocene based on the determination of fossil foraminifera. The Magarini Sands comprise unconsolidated quartzose sands, which locally include gravels or clays, and can broadly be subdivided into two different stratigraphic members. The Lower Member is formed by Plio-Pleistocene fluviatile sands [\(Caswell, 1953; Willi](#page-8-0)[ams, 1962; Oosterom, 1988\)](#page-8-0). The Upper Member was distinguished in the Malindi area by [Thompson \(1956\)](#page-8-0) as sands of aeolian origin; both [Caswell \(1953\)](#page-8-0) and [Thompson \(1956\)](#page-8-0) considered the Upper Member to be of Pleistocene age.

2.2. Pleistocene and holocene deposits

During the Pleistocene period, the Malindi coast was affected by global eustatic sea level oscillations, which are reflected in geomorphology and stratigraphy of the area. [Oosterom \(1988\)](#page-8-0) has correlated the sequence of events that operated along the Kenyan coast with events that occurred during the glacial history of Europe. The existing correlations of the Pleistocene sequence described above with particular sea level stands have neither been satisfactory nor have they been unanimously accepted, however. The following account is based on the work of [Caswell \(1956\), Thompson \(1956\), Williams](#page-8-0) [\(1962\), Braithwaite \(1984\) and Oosterom \(1988\).](#page-8-0)

Brief descriptions of the Pleistocene lithological units are given by [Oosterom \(1988\)](#page-8-0) for the coastal rocks and unconsolidated deposits. Three main types of Pleistocene formations are identified along the coastal plain; from west to east these are: Lagoonal Sands and Clays, Fossil Reef Complex and Wind-blown Sands [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-1-0). The basement to these units is probably a narrow wave cut platform that was beveled into the Cretaceous and Jurassic formations during the Middle Pleistocene.

[Table 1](#page-1-0) gives a correlation of stratigraphies used by previous authors.

The Lagoonal Sands and Clays ([Fig. 1;](#page-1-0) [Table 1\)](#page-1-0) were recognized to be equivalents of the Kilindini Sands by [Caswell \(1953\),](#page-8-0) the Pleistocene Sands by [Thompson](#page-8-0) [\(1956\)](#page-8-0) and the red sandy laterite by [Braithwaite](#page-8-0) [\(1984\)](#page-8-0). They comprise mainly quartz sands with subordinate silts and clays. [Williams \(1962\)](#page-8-0) proposed a lower Upper Pleistocene age based on the presumed age of a terrace he identified at $12m + MSL$ elevation.

The quartzose Lagoonal Sands and Clays are considered to be contemporaneous with the Fossil Reef Complex, based on their fossil fauna [\(Caswell, 1953;](#page-8-0) [Thompson, 1956](#page-8-0)). Due to the small extent of the local drainage basin, only covering the coastal zone, [Caswell](#page-8-0) [\(1953\)](#page-8-0) concluded that the clastic constituents were derived from the nearby Duruma Sandstones of Permo Triassic age, and from the Early Pleistocene Magarini Sands. The fact that the Lagoonal Sands and Clays and coral reef formations are more or less contemporaneous suggests that the rate of clastic sedimentation was rather low, allowing the corals to flourish despite the siltation.

The Fossil Reef Complex consists of an assemblage of coral limestone and calcarenites with intercalations of quartz sands, pebbly sandstone pebbles, siltstone and algal limestone. The main outcrops of the Fossil Reef Complex are found from the Sabaki river southward to Shimoni ([Fig. 1\)](#page-1-0), a coastline distance of approximately 200 km. This complex extends 3–5 km inland from the present shoreline, underlying the coastal plain, and attains elevations of up to $30 \text{ m} + \text{MSL}$ level. However, [Caswell \(1956\)](#page-8-0) determined from borehole records that the limestone might reach to a depth of $60 \text{ m}-MSL$ level, so that a maximum thickness of about 90m may be assumed for the Fossil Reef Complex.

[Caswell \(1953\)](#page-8-0) proposed a Middle Pleistocene age for the Fossil Reef Complex, by connecting the reef buildup with the second interpluvial. In a later study of the Pleistocene limestones of the Kenyan coast, [Braithwaite](#page-8-0) [\(1984\)](#page-8-0) concluded that these deposits formed about 125 000 years ago, when sea level stood at between 15 and $20m + MSL$, based on radiocarbon dates of the raised coral reef component.

The North Mombasa Crag, comprising calcareous sand, shelly sands and clays (marls) are the sediments which form the foundation of the Fossil Reef Complex. This ''basal'' unit has been interpreted by [Braithwaite](#page-8-0) [\(1984\)](#page-8-0), (1) to be a product of mid-Pliocene crustal movements, and (2) to have formed in a subaerial environment during a period of low sea level stand.

The *Wind blown Sands* were recognized to be equivalents of the Gedi Beacon Sands by [Thompson \(1956\)](#page-8-0) and Pleistocene Dune Sands by [Williams \(1962\).](#page-8-0) The latter differentiated three dune ridges on the basis of platforms on which they accumulated, at 60m, 36m and 9m + MSL, respectively. The suggested age, of Upper Pleistocene, for the Gedi Beacon Sands ([Thompson,](#page-8-0) [1956\)](#page-8-0) was based on the assumption that the retreat of the sea from the $36m + MSL$ terrace took place during that time, exposing offshore bar deposits to aeolian reworking to produce the dunes. Cocquinas are prominently exposed at Watamu Beach, Vasco da Gama Pillar at Malindi and Ras Ngomeni Peninsula ([Fig. 1\)](#page-1-0) and consist of wind blown, carbonate-rich deposits, derived from beach materials, mainly shells. These aeolian deposits are strongly cross-bedded which led [Thompson](#page-8-0) [\(1956\)](#page-8-0) to interpret them incorrectly as offshore bar deposits. Dunes, sporadically distributed and related to the cocquinas, are present along the southern coast of Kenya. [Ase \(1981\)](#page-8-0) has shown that the dune system continues northward into southern Somalia, a coastline distance of approximately 2000 km.

3. Terrace correlations

3.1. Previous work on coastal terraces

The marine terraces of the coastal zone of Kenya ([Figs. 2 and 3;](#page-2-0) [Table 2](#page-4-0)) have been classified as the Marafa Terrace (80–120m + MSL), Changamwe Terrace $(45-70m + MSL)$, Ganda Terrace $(20m + MSL)$, Kilifi Terrace (15–18m + MSL), Malindi Terrace (7– 10m + MSL) and the Shelly Beach Terrace $(4.5m + MSL)$ in decreasing order of height and proba-

Fig. 3. Geomorphological map showing terraces of the coastal zone between Kilifi and Gongoni (redrawn from [Hori, 1970\)](#page-8-0).

bly of age [\(Hori, 1970; Toya et al., 1973; Ase, 1978,](#page-8-0) [1981; Read, 1981; Braithwaite, 1984; Oosterom, 1988\)](#page-8-0). In this review, however, only the four lower levels on which sufficient data are available are considered. [Battis](#page-8-0)[tini \(1969\)](#page-8-0) and [Braithwaite \(1984\)](#page-8-0) have also provided a correlation with terraces of coastal Madagascar and northern Tanzania, respectively.

[Caswell \(1953\)](#page-8-0) identified four marine terraces at 61, 31, 9 and $4.5m + MSL$ levels and designated them as post Pliocene. [Thompson \(1956\)](#page-8-0) was the first to observe underwater terraces at $8m$ and $35m - MSL$. [Ase \(1978,](#page-8-0) [1981\)](#page-8-0) classified the terraces into eight groups, with the youngest terrace level I corresponding with the present reef platform, level II with the Shelly Beach Terrace, terrace levels III–IV with the Malindi Terrace and levels V– VIII with the Kilifi Terrace. [Read \(1981\)](#page-8-0) recognized a buried terrace (?) at $3-7m + MSL$; in this study, evidence for lower sea levels is supported by submerged platforms at $5m$ and $15m - MSL$. Perhaps the simplest description of fossil shorelines was the list of benches recognized by [Braithwaite \(1984\)](#page-8-0) at 20, 10 tol 2, 8, 6, 4, 2 and $0 + \text{MSL}$ and, 8 and $35 \text{m} - \text{MSL}$. They are considered to represent pauses and reversals in the local changes of sea levels during the postglacial period (see subsequent section). A later study by [Oosterom \(1988\)](#page-8-0) showed the existence of the lowest exposed level at 4m + MSL which he referred to as the Uhuru I level. The present reef platform is conspicuous at about 2m + MSL; according to [Braithwaite \(1984\),](#page-8-0) it was defined 30 000 years ago when sea level paused at its present position during a general decline to $120 \text{ m} - \text{MSL}$.

Previous work on terraces in other sections of the East African coast such as [Alexander](#page-8-0)'s (1968) Sukura, Tanga and Mtoni terraces in northern Tanzania and [Battistini](#page-8-0)s (1969) Tatsimian (Reef I), Karimbolian (Reef II) and Flandrian Level in Madagascar, correlate very closely with the Kenyan Kilifi, Malindi and the Shelly Beach terraces, respectively.

3.2. An overview of marine terrace formation

There is a general consensus that marine terraces are associated with sea level fluctuations but contradictions arise on the exact mechanism(s) that contributed to their development. The answer to the active processes of terrace formation has direct implications on its age and must be clarified to avoid misleading interpretation on the nature, magnitude and timing of the Pleistocene events.

The first mechanism attributes the genesis of marine terraces to stability pauses in the process of abrupt falling of sea levels [\(Braithwaite, 1984\)](#page-8-0). [Braithwaite \(1984\)](#page-8-0) also concluded that the thin cover of marine deposits placed on top of terraces show episodes of brief reversals. However, this authigenic deposition is most likely a syngenetic feature. The second mechanism considers the

	Sikes (1930)	Caswell (1953)	Thompson (1956)	Battistini (1969)	Hori (1970)	Toya et al. (1973)	Ase (1978)	Read (1981)	Braithwaite (1984)	Oosterom (1988)	Age (Oosterom)
Study area	Southern Kenya	Mombasa area	Malindi area	Mombasa- Malindi area	Southern Kenya	Southern Kenya	Mombasa area	Mombasa- Mtwapa area	Southern Kenya	Mombasa area	
Terrace name and elevation above datum	75m	Foot Plateau	Foot Plateau		Matuga Surface $80 - 120$ m	Marafa Terrace $80 - 120$ m				Cambini-I $85 - 130$ m Cambini-II $70 - 90$ m	950000 BP 750000 BP
	50m	Foot Plateau	Foot Plateau		Changamwe Surface $45 - 70m$	Changamwe Terrace $45 - 70m$				Tezo $(I + II)$ $40 - 85$ m	750000 BP 650000 BP
	12m level	37 _m Terrace	36m Terrace	$18 - 25m$ platform	Upper Mombasa Terrace $15 - 37m$	Ganda Terrace $20 - 37$ m	VIII: 20m	Ganda Terrace $20m + ?$	$+20m$	Majaoni-I $35 - 50m$	650000 BP
	24m level									Majaoni-II $25 - 25m$	475000 BP
						Kilifi Terrace $15 - 18m$	VII: 17m VI: 15m V: 13.5m	Kilifi Terrace $15 - 18m$		Mtondia-I $15 - 25m$ Mtondia-II $10 - 15m$	300000 BP 180000 BP
	9m old sea beach	7.6 _m platform	$7.5m$ beach Terrace	$7 - 10m$ platform	Lower Mombasa Terrace 10 _m	Malindi Terrace $7 - 10m$	IV: $12m$ III: 9m II: $7m$	Malindi Terrace $7 - 10m$	$+10$ to $+12m$ $+8m$	Mackenzie-I $8 - 10m$ Mackenzie-II $6 - 8m$	130000 BP
	4.5m beach	$\overline{}$			Shelly Beach Terrace 5 _m	Shelly Beach Terrace 4.5m	I: $5m$	Shelly Beach Terrace 4.5m	$+6m$ $+4m$	Uhuru-I $4-6m$	10000 BP
			2m level						$+2m$ Present- day reef platform	Uhuru-H $2-4m$	$\bf{0}$

Table 2Correlation of the coastal terraces in southern Kenya according to earlier studies

Submarine terraces are present at -8 m and -35 m ([Thompson,](#page-8-0) 1956) and at -5 m and -15 m ([Read,](#page-8-0) 1981).

formation of marine terraces to mark the initiation of transgressive phases [\(Oosterom, 1988](#page-8-0)).

If the falling sea level hypothesis is considered then the age of shore elements should decrease with height. To some extent, evidence of such a relationship can be seen by studying more detailed mapping and dating of shore levels by [Hori \(1970\), Ase \(1981\) and Oosterom](#page-8-0) [\(1988\)](#page-8-0). The latter work also shows that the raised beaches and terraces were formed during short periods with relatively stable shorelines.

3.3. Eustatic changes in the Pleistocene and Holocene

In this paper, the model adopted to elucidate the Pleistocene events relies mainly on the established evidence for alternating periods of glaciations and interglaciations; by definition these are considered the principal cause for eustatic sea level fluctuations. The surrogate concept to this, the Glacial Control Theory has frequently been invoked in sea level studies [\(Bloom et al.,](#page-8-0) [1974\)](#page-8-0).

[Table 3](#page-6-0) shows that regression maxima occurred during the Mindel and Riss glaciations. Large areas of the present continental shelf were subjected to terrestrial conditions; near the old shorelines, wave action and shallow water sedimentation continued to exert influence.

[Caswell \(1953\) and Oosterom \(1988\)](#page-8-0) compared the eustatic sea level changes along the Kenyan coast and the glacial events for the high altitudes. In East Africa, [Leakey \(1950\) and Hove \(1980\)](#page-8-0) correlated Gunz, Mindel, Riss and Würm glacial periods to Kageran, Kamasian, Kanjeran and Gamblian pluvials, respectively. The Mindel and Riss glaciations are considered to be related to the 60m and 45m—MSL submarine terraces represented by the drowned valleys such as the deep Kilindini Channel and Malindis offshore banks, respectively ([Caswell, 1953; Thompson, 1956](#page-8-0)). According to [Thomp](#page-8-0)[son \(1956\),](#page-8-0) a platform found at 8m—MSL found near Watamu could have been formed during the Würm glaciation.

During the Lower Pleistocene [\(Table 3](#page-6-0)), the 60m— MSL marine platform developed as a narrow fringe along the coast, indicating that events that formed it were of short duration. This platform served as the basement upon which the Lagoonal Sands and Clays, Coral Reef Complex, the North Mombasa Crag and the Cocquinas were deposited during the subsequent interglacial ([Table 3](#page-6-0)). Towards the end of this episode, the sea level must have risen to about $30m + MSL$ as indicated by fossil coral reef outcrops on the $30m + MSL$ terrace. At a section cut into a limestone quarry south of Malindi town, lithological interpretations show that during this transgression, the eustatic rise of sea level was not constant but was marked with pauses and even with brief reversals. The quarry exposures show evidence of reef chocking represented by thin elastic beds separating coral growth. These elastic sediment intercalations may not be due to silting and hiatus related to falling sea level but merely to silting and death of reef systems. Under favorable environmental conditions the coral reef could again be rejuvenated above such deposits.

The Glacial Control Theory described by [Bloom](#page-8-0) [et al. \(1974\)](#page-8-0) states that coral growth would mainly be vertical during periods of rapid sea level rise, horizontal during slow rise or pauses. Regression periods are related to subaerial erosion of existing reefs and deposition of alluvial and aeolian sediments. Some brief reversals were also characterized by deposition of thin marine beds [\(Braithwaite, 1984\)](#page-8-0). Estimates for the time taken for coral formation range from 10 000 years ([Thompson, 1956](#page-8-0)) to 60 000 years [\(Caswell, 1956](#page-8-0)), representing growth rates of 9mm/year and 1.5mm/year, respectively.

[Gregory \(1921\)](#page-8-0) noted a variation in height of the raised coral reefs in different parts of the coast: 24m + MSL at Mombasa, 39m + MSL at Kilifi and $12m + MSL$ at Malindi; these irregularities were attributed to differential tectonic movements. But there are several reasons that can also explain such differences; these include subaerial erosion and lack of distinction between coral reef and coral breccia deposits during height measurements.

In probably the earliest published work on raised beaches and coastal terraces, [Sikes \(1930\)](#page-8-0) concluded that there was only one transgression indicated by the 30m + MSL terrace and one regression represented by the deep cutting of the Kilindini channel to 60 m — MSL. In that study, the 9m and 4.5m + MSL terraces were attributed to oscillations during a general sea level fall. A more recent study by [Abuodha \(1998\)](#page-8-0) explained that the raised beaches at $4.5m + MSL$ (usually associated with aeolian deposition) mark the culmination of the Climatic Optimum at about 7000 BP. Geomorphological features along the Kenyan coast seem to sustain argument for global trends which show that the culmination of the last glaciation coincided with a drastic lowering of sea level to -120 m; there was still stand at the present position of the reef platform 30 000 years ago. Thereafter, the postglacial marine transgression prevailed until about 7000 BP, when sea level rose to its present position. The Holocene events are well represented by raised beach ridge-dune complexes that show eastward progradation of the coastline.

Even after measuring heights of marine terraces and grouping the levels statistically into eight categories, [Ase \(1978, 1981\)](#page-8-0) still found the assemblage of shore features difficult to depict in a chronological sequence. These results are complicated further by probable reoccupation of previous shorelines and terrestrial processes. Ase's data indicate a higher shore displacement northward to Malindi, where emergent shore features such as dunes and beach ridges are dominant, in contrast to the southern sector where creeks represent a shoreline of submergence.

3.4. Eustatic versus isostatic and tectonic factors

Several studies consider the presence of raised terraces to be of pure eustatic origin ([Caswell, 1953;](#page-8-0) [Thompson, 1956; Williams, 1962; Read, 1981; Brai](#page-8-0)[thwaite, 1984](#page-8-0)). [Sikes \(1930\)](#page-8-0) suggested that the tropical regions were free from isostatic loading due to the weight of ice sheets and the lag-cause influence; sea level changes were therefore paramount to independent crustal movements. The influence of tectonics on the genesis and later development of the marine terraces was first advocated by [Gregory \(1921\)](#page-8-0) and later supported by [Battistini \(1969\), Temple \(1971\), Ase \(1981\) and Ooste](#page-8-0)[rom \(1988\).](#page-8-0)

Indications that tectonic movements were important in the development of the landscape include the following:

- (1) The elevation of the Foot Plateau (higher terraces) compared to the lower terraces.
- (2) The presence of parallel step faults on all but the Shelly Beach terrace.
- (3) The absence of a true fore reef facies in the raised coral reefs.

Along the Kenyan coastline, the lowest shoreline of the last major Pleistocene event can be reconstructed at around 60m—MSL (drowned river channel at Kilindini and submarine terraces) compared to the generally accepted decline to -120 m—MSL. Therefore, the coastline experienced an uplift of approximately 60m, which means a rate of about 3mm/year. This is almost twice as high as the elastic response of 20–30% (uplift expected from the 120m rise) of the ocean floor caused by the postulated inflow of melt water calculated by [Wal](#page-8-0)cott[s \(1972\)](#page-8-0) model.

It is therefore possible that isostatic and tectonic crustal movements, based on the following five mechanisms, can explain half of the uplift. First, the growth of coral reef to a thickness of more than 100m could generate isostatic loading and a rise of the coastal area ([Hori, 1970](#page-8-0)). Secondly, intense denudation of the hinterland could result in continental emergence relative to the sea. Thirdly, the thick fluvial deposits could cause differential downwarp of the coast; [Saggerson and Baker](#page-8-0) [\(1965\)](#page-8-0) used this mechanism to describe the downflexing of the Tana basin along Kenya's north coast. Fourthly, the doming and rifting associated with the Great Rift Valley could lead to down warp of the coastal zone ([Oosterom, 1988](#page-8-0)). Fifthly, continental uplift with its hinge point east of the present-day shore (at the

Ruvu-Mobasa Fault) probably also played a role in the geomorphological development of the coast.

[Kent \(1974\)](#page-8-0) noted that minor crustal movements are supposed to have taken place from the Upper Pliocene to early Pleistocene, but [Oosterom \(1988\)](#page-8-0) suggested that such morphogenesis of the coast probably continued throughout the Pleistocene period.

[Alexander \(1968\) and Read \(1981\)](#page-8-0) have advanced the view that the Kenyan coast was stable during the late Pleistocene. However, their interpretation was based on doubtful results showing constant elevation and continuity of terraces and the absence of either faulting or tilting. The reason why the levels of shoremarks show an increasing altitude towards the north ([Ase, 1981](#page-8-0)) is most likely a manifestation of erosional and depositional processes.

The patterns of faults indicate that tectonic movements occurred up to almost the youngest episode in the development of the shore zone. The age of lower shore elements, e.g. the Shelly Beach terrace, has variously been placed at late Pleistocene ([Oosterom, 1988](#page-8-0)) and Holocene [\(Ase, 1981\)](#page-8-0). Although 230 Th/ 234 U datings support a late Pleistocene age, the metachronous features in this zone point to a re occupation of a former shoreline during the Holocene. This can be attributed to the maximum Holocene submergence ([Sikes, 1930](#page-8-0); [Walcott, 1972;](#page-8-0) [De Graaff, 1989](#page-8-0)) connected with the Flandrian transgression at 4000–5000 BP. Ase'[s \(1981\)](#page-8-0) analysis of the levels of medieval buildings and beach ridges seems to suggest a slight sea level drop of about $\frac{1}{3}$ m. In this review, the present reef platform is considered to have been cut 30 000 years ago, when sea level paused in its present position; landward of the reef platform are cliffs which are a prominent feature of the Mombasa shoreline and these probably indicate tectonic influence.

3.5. Drawbacks in correlation

The problems of correlation of the terraces and other sea level indicators have engendered many uncertainties in attempts to reconstruct the Pleistocene and Holocene development of coastlines. The main problem is whether they are purely eustatic, isostatic, tectonic or a combination of these. The rate and timing of these mechanisms are still debatable.

In addition, very few absolute age datings are available. Furthermore, radiometric dating is subject to errors as it is difficult to obtain representative samples from such diagenetically active coastal environments; assessment of sea level changes based on this method is usually inconclusive ([Carter, 1991\)](#page-8-0). For instance, [Oosterom \(1988\)](#page-8-0) observed that the use of radiocarbon dating of the Kenyan coast gave indications of very high uplift rates compared to tectonically active regions with no other field evidence to support this occurrence.

The problem of re-occupation of former shorelines and implications of tidal range on the effect of wave attack, as well as geoidal changes have also been cited as factors that affect correlations ([Ase, 1978; De Graaff,](#page-8-0) [1989\)](#page-8-0).

Nonetheless, when the levels of the various terraces are viewed against their supposed age as shown in [Table](#page-4-0) [2,](#page-4-0) the validity of the chronological correlations can be checked.

4. Conclusions

Literature on chronological correlations of marine terraces is scanty and it is therefore envisaged that this review will instigate more enquiry into factors that were involved in landscape formation along the Kenyan coast. Existing correlations in literature of terrace heights are confusing, and are made more complicated by frequently omitting the reference datum. This problem is further compounded by the inconsistent nomenclature used for marine terraces and lack of a systematic dating of the terrace sequence.

Nevertheless, in testing the validity of previous correlations, a fair reconstruction of the Pleistocene events is possible. First, it is only the four lower levels that are considered to have formed during the Pleistocene. Secondly, some of the deposits are contemporary with, or related to, terraces. Thirdly, when the elevation of terrace levels is viewed against records of climatic and related eustatic changes, a more vivid interpretation of the order of events emerges. This suggests that global sea level fluctuations were an important control over coastal development even in tropical areas. The presence of raised coral reef is mainly attributed to isostatic loading of the continental shelf during marine transgressions caused by Polar ice melting. The growth of thick coral reef systems and fluvial sedimentation could generate isostatic loading and a rise of the coastal area. Theories of glacio-eustatic control on coral reef growth must be applied with caution since as shown in the Malindi quarry section discussed above, reefs could die due to silting without necessarily involving a retreat of sea level.

Whereas a number of authors believe that stable tectonic conditions have prevailed since Early Pleistocene times, there is evidence that tectonic movements occurred up to the youngest episode in the development of this coast. It is suggested here that neotectonic movements involving continental uplift and downwarp of the coast were involved in the geomorphological development of the study area.

Acknowledgments

I am happy to record my thanks and appreciation to the Netherlands University Foundation for Interna-

tional Co-operation (NUFFIC) and the University of Amsterdam for their generous financial support during the literature review both in Kenya and in The Netherlands. In addition, I am grateful to Dr. L.S.W. de Graaff of the Department of Physical Geography and Soil Science, University of Amsterdam for critically reading the manuscript. This paper has improved significantly, thanks to the critical comments of Prof. P.D. Jungerius, Prof. M.P. Tole and two anonymous reviewers.

References

- Abuodha, J.O.Z., 1998. Geology, geomorphology, oceanography and meteorology of Malindi Bay. In: Hoorweg, J. (Ed.), Dunes, Groundwater, Mangroves and Birdlife in coastal Kenya, Coastal Ecology Series, vol. 4. English Press Limited, Nairobi, pp. 17– 39.
- Alexander, C.S., 1968. The marine terraces of the northeat coast of Tanganyika. Z. Geomorph. Supplementband 7, 133–154.
- Ase, L.E., 1978. Preliminary report on studies of shore displacement at the southern coast of Kenya. Geografisker Annaler 60A (3–4), 209– 221.
- Ase, L.E., 1981. Studies of shores and shore displacement on the southern coast of Kenya especially in Kilifi District. Geografisker Annaler 63A (3–4), 303–310.
- Battistini, R., 1969. Le Quaternaire du littoral Kenyan entre Mombasa et Malindi. Bulletin Association Francais Étude Quaternaire 20, 229–238.
- Bloom, A.L., Broecker, W.S., Chappel, J., Mathews, R.S., Mesolella, K.J., 1974. Quaternary sea level fluctuations on a tectonic coast: new 230Th/234U dates from the Huon Peninsula, New Guinea. Quaternary Research 4, 185–205.
- Braithwaite, C.J.R., 1984. Depositional history of the late Pleistocene limestones of the Kenya coast. Journal Geological Society, London 141, 685–699.
- Cannon, R.T., Simiyu Siambi, W.M.N., Karanja, F.M., 1981. The proto Indian Ocean and a probable palaeozoic/Mesozoic triradial rift system in East Africa. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 52, 419–426.
- Carter, B., 1991. Coastal Environments. Wiley, London, p. 400.
- Caswell, P.V., 1953. Geology of the Mombasa Kwale area. Report 24, Mines and Geological Department, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, Nairobi, Kenya, 69p.
- Caswell, P.V., 1956. Geology of the Kilifi Mazeras area. Report 34, Mines and Geological Department, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, Nairobi, Kenya, 54p.
- De Graaff, L.W.S., 1989. Coastal changes near Puttalam and the Mi Oya lagoon delta, NW Sri Lanka. Z. Geomorph Supplementband 73, 87–109.
- Gregory, J.W., 1921. The Rift Valleys and Geology of East Africa. John Murray, London, United Kingdom, p. 424.
- Hori, N., 1970. Raised coral reefs along the southeastern coast of Kenya, East Africa. Department of Geography, Tokyo University, vol. 5, pp. 25–47.
- Hove, A.R.T., 1980. The 38-metre sea level: a reconstruction of the Early Pleistocene Palaeogeography of the south Kenya coast. International Geological Colloquium, Paris, Sect. 8, Symposium 23, 661p.
- Inman, D.L., Nordstrom, C.E., 1971. On the tectonic and morphologic classification of coasts. Journal of Geology 79, 1–21.
- Kent, P.E., 1974. Continental margins of E. Africa—a region of vertical movements. In: Burk, C.A., Drake, C.L. (Eds.), The Geology of Continental Margins. Springer, New York, pp. 13–24.
- Leakey, L.S.B. 1950. The lower of Pleistocene in Africa. Report of the 180th Session of the International Geological Congress, United Kingdom. Part IX, Sect. H, pp. 73–86.
- Oosterom, A.P., 1988. The geornorphology of southeast Kenya. Ph.D. Dissertation. Wageningen Agricultural University, The Netherlands, 227p.
- Rais-Assa, R., 1988. Stratigraphy and geodynamics of the Mombasa Basin (Kenya) in relation to the genesis of the proto Indian Ocean. Geological Magazine 125, 141–147.
- Read, A.N., 1981. Sedimentology and lithification of Quaternary reef rock on the coast near Mombasa, Kenya. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Leeds, United Kingdom, 287p.
- Saggerson, E.P., Baker, B.H., 1965. Post-Jurassic erosion surface in eastern Kenya and their deformation in relation to rift structure. Quaternary Journal of Geological Society, London 121, 51– 72.
- Sikes, H.L., 1930. The drowned valleys on the Kenyan coast. Journal of East African Historical Society and National Museum 18, 1–9.
- Temple, P.H., 1971. Discussion of C.S. Alexander's paper on marine terraces of the northeast coast of Tanganyika. Z. Geomorph. Supplementband 15, 236–240.
- Thompson, A.O., 1956. Geology of the Malindi area. Report 36, Mines and Geological Department, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, Nairobi, Kenya, 63p.
- Toya, H., Kodomura, H., Tamura, T., Hori, N., 1973. Geomorphological studies in southeastern Kenya. Geogr. Report, Tokyo Metropolian University, Japan, vol. 8, pp. 51–137.
- Walcott, R.I., 1972. Past sea levels, eustasy and deformation of the earth. Quaternary Research 2, 1–14.
- Williams, L.A.J., 1962. Geology of the Hadu Fundisa area, North of Malindi. Report 52, Mines and Geological Department, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, Nairobi, Kenya, 59p.